

MEMORANDUM

DATE	September 3, 2021
то	Board of Psychology
FROM	Sarah Proteau Central Services Office Technician
SUBJECT	Agenda Item # 4 – Discussion and Possible Approval of the Outreach and Education Committee Minutes: September 25, 2020

Background:

Attached are the draft minutes of the September 25, 2020, Outreach and Education Committee Meeting

Action Requested:

Review and approve the minutes of the September 25, 2020, Outreach and Education Committee Meeting



Outreach and Communications Committee Teleconference Meeting Minutes

- NOTE: Pursuant to the provisions of Governor Gavin Newsom's Executive Order
 N-29-20, dated March 17, 2020, neither Committee member locations nor a public
 meeting location is provided.
- 8 September 25, 2020

10 **Committee Members**

- 11 12 Lea Tate, PsyD, Chair
- 13 Shacunda Rodgers, PhD

1415 Committee Members Absent

16

1 2

7

9

- 17 Alita Bernal
- 18

19 Legal Counsel

- 20
- 21 Norine Marks
- 22 Will Maguire
- 23

24 Board Staff

- 25
- 26 Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer
- 27 Jason Glasspiegel, Central Services Manager
- 28 Stephanie Cheung, Licensing Program Manager
- 29 Sandra Monterrubio, Enforcement Program Manager
- 30

Agenda Item 1: Call to Order/Roll Call/Establishment of a Quorum 32

she looked forward to Dr. Rodgers' fresh insight to the Committee.

- 33 Dr. Lea Tate, Chairperson, called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m., roll was taken, and 34 a guorum established.
- 35

36 Agenda Item 2: Chairperson Welcome

- 37
- 38 Dr. Tate welcomed all participants for the meeting and introduced a new member to the 39 committee, Dr. Shacunda Rodgers. Dr. Tate expressed her appreciation and stated that
- 40 41
- 42 Dr. Rodgers stated that she was delighted and honored to be a part of the Outreach and
- 43 Communications Committee and that she was looking forward to learning how she
- 44 would be able to make a meaningful contribution.

-	Agenda Item 3: Public Comment for Items not on the Agenda.
	Dr. Tate introduced this item.
•	There was no Committee or public comment offered.
4	Agenda Item 4: Approval of the Outreach and Education Committee Minutes: May
	<u>17, 2019</u>
	Dr. Tate introduced this item.
	It was M(Rodgers)/S(Tate)/C to approve the minutes from the May 17, 2019 Outreach and Education Committee.
	There was no Committee or public comment offered
	There was no Committee or public comment offered.
,	Vote: 2 Ayes (Rodgers, Tate), 0 Noes
4	Agenda Item 5: Strategic Plan
	a. Strategic Plan Action Plan Update
1	Ma Carriek provided on undete for this item. She stated that the Committee would
	Ms. Sorrick provided an update for this item. She stated that the Committee would continue to receive ongoing updates and progress would be reported at the full Board
	meeting.
	incoung.
	Ms. Sorrick stated that the timelines had all been updated and the status of items, some
	of which had been moved for a variety of reasons; typically, waiting for legislation and/o
ļ	regulation, impacts due to COVID, or staff vacancies.
	There was no Committee or public comment offered.
4	Agenda Item 6: Update on Website Focus Group Follow-up
	Mr. Glasspiegel referenced the memo in the meeting materials and provided an update
1	to this item for informational purposes only.
1	No action was required by the Committee
	No action was required by the Committee.
•	There was no Committee or public comment offered.

- 88 Mr. Glasspiegel referenced the memo in the meeting materials and provided an update
- 89 90
- 91 No action was required by the Committee.

to this item for informational purposes only.

- 92
- 93 There was no Committee or public comment offered
- 94

95 Agenda Item 8: Website Statistics Update 96

- Mr. Glasspiegel referenced the memo in the meeting materials and provided an updateto this item for informational purposes only.
- 100 No action was required by the Committee.
- 101102 There was no Committee or public comment offered
- 103

99

104 Agenda Item 9: Update on Newsletter

- 105
 106 Mr. Glasspiegel referenced the memo in the meeting materials and provided an update
 107 to this item for informational purposes only.
- 108109 No action was required by the Committee.
- 110
- 111 Dr. Rodgers complimented the Board and staff on the newsletter and stated
- appreciation for the article in which former Assistant Executive Officer, Jeffrey Thomaswas memorialized.
- 114
- 115 Dr. Marilyn Immoos, CDCR, expressed appreciation for the newsletter and stated that it 116 provided a comforting way to feel connected when so many of her colleagues have
- been working remotely due to the pandemic.
- 119 There was no further Committee or public comment offered.

120121 Agenda Item 10: Outreach Activities Update

- 122
- 123 Mr. Glasspiegel referenced the memo in the meeting materials and provided an update 124 to this item for informational purposes only.
- 125
- 126 A discussion ensued regarding the ASPPB Annual Meeting, which would be virtual due
- to the COVID-19 pandemic. It was determined that there would be an update provided
- 128 following the event where experiences would be summarized for the Board.
- 129
- 130 No action was required by the Committee.
- 131

132 No further Committee or public comment was offered. 133 134 Agenda Item 11: Updates on Communications and/or Activities of the Association of State and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) 135 136 137 Dr. Tate introduced this item and Dr. Jacqueline Horn, who provided an update on 138 communications and/or activities of ASPPB. 139 140 Dr. Horn expressed hope that all Board members and staff would attend the ASPPB 141 meeting since it was to be virtual. She provided a summary of ASPPB and what they 142 do, stated that the theme of the meeting would be COVID-19, and the changes that had 143 been brought due to the pandemic. 144 145 Dr. Rodgers stated her appreciation to Dr. Horn for the summary and invitation. 146 147 Dr. Jo Linder Crow, California Psychological Association, queried as to whether ASPPB 148 was planning to discuss the EPPP exam and the effect that COVID-19 had on 149 examinations. 150 151 Dr. Horn responded that while the ASPPB had been briefly unable to provide 152 opportunities to take the EPPP, once psychology had been categorized as an essential 153 business, testing had resumed at limited capacity, as required. She stated that it was 154 anticipated that COVID-19 would likely be an ongoing issue and the testing centers 155 would continue to adjust as needed. 156 157 There was no further Committee or public comment. 158 159 Agenda Item 12: Plan to Update "For Your Peace of Mind – A Consumer's Guide 160 to Psychological Services" 161 162 Dr. Tate introduced this item and provided background on "For Your Peace of Mind – A 163 Consumer's Guide to Psychological Services," a publication put out by the Board of 164 Psychology. She stated that an update to this publication had been put off until 2021 165 due to limitations of staff and the inability to conduct in-person meetings. 166 167 Dr. Tate provided this as information only and no action was required of the Committee. 168 169 There was no Committee or public comment offered. 170 171 Agenda Item 13: Addressing Cultural Diversity and Access to Psychological 172 Services in California 173 174 Dr. Tate introduced this item and provided background information, stating that the 175 pandemic and nationwide protests regarding racial inequities had highlighted

176 the need for the Board of Psychology to consider how it conducts business and how 177 that impacts the profession of psychology and access to psychological services. 178 179 She stated that Ms. Sorrick and the Board of Psychology felt strongly that the Board 180 have actionable items that will help address cultural diversity, access to services and 181 equity with licensees, stakeholders, and consumers. 182 183 Ms. Sorrick echoed Dr. Tate's introduction on the intention of the Board and staff to find 184 a nexus in the fundamental mission of the Board to address some of the issues. 185 186 She stated that the following items were a preliminary list of brainstormed ideas that had 187 been determined to have a direct nexus with the work of the Board, initially discussed 188 by Mr. Foo, Dr. Tate, and herself and she presented each item. 189 190 a. Update on Continued Professional Development Regulations: Implementation Plan to Produce Written Materials including Cultural Diversity and Social Justice 191 192 Requirement 193 194 Ms. Sorrick provided context for this item and stated that it would be a matter of waiting 195 for the Continued Professional Development Regulations to proceed and that a hearing 196 would be conducted at the November 2020 Board Meeting after which the Office of 197 Administrative Law would consider the regulatory package for approval. 198 199 She stated that the next step would be to discuss implementation and what the 200 requirement would look like in relation to the 36-hour continuing education renewal 201 requirement. 202 203 b. Increase Stakeholder Engagement 204 205 Ms. Sorrick provided clarification that this item would be an effort to look to the 206 community and special interest groups to discuss partnership opportunities with 207 organizations on messaging and communication. 208 209 c. Engage Department of Healthcare Services (DHCS) Regarding Medi-Cal 210 Reimbursement Rates 211 212 Ms. Sorrick stated that staff had been in contact with DHCS to confirm the existing 213 rates. She expressed surprise regarding how low the rates were and stated that this gave clarity to the difficulty faced by lower income populations to gain access to 214 215 psychological services in California as well as the lower incentive within the licensee 216 community to provide those services. 217

218 Ms. Sorrick stated that she was in the process of scheduling a follow up call with DHCS 219 and was waiting to hear back regarding how they set the rates and how often they are 220 reviewed. 221 222 d. Engage Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services (SAMHSA) Regarding 223 Federal Initiatives Addressing Equity 224 225 Ms. Sorrick stated that she had reached out to SAMHSA and requested that they make 226 the Board aware of any initiatives or educational direction related to federal initiatives 227 addressing equity. She stated her understanding was that the focus of SAMHSA at that 228 time was the implementation of the 9-8-8 line, as a suicide prevention hotline, similar to 229 9-1-1. She said that the regulations for this program had recently been approved and 230 SAMHSA was working with the mobile cell service providers to get that program up and 231 running. 232 233 e. Digital Divide – How Does the Digital Divide Impact Access to Telehealth 234 235 Ms. Sorrick provided context for this item and stated that Dr. Sheryl Casuga had 236 expressed very strong concern regarding the lack of ability for many people within the 237 communities to receive psychological services because of a lack of access to the 238 internet or devices. 239 240 Ms. Sorrick stated that there would be an ongoing discussion with agencies that have a 241 direct involvement with each of the previous items. 242 243 A discussion ensued regarding different options of possible data collection and analysis 244 on the digital divide. 245 Ms. Sorrick noted that while staffing would not allow the option at that time, the 246 247 possibility of a document to post on the website would be preferable. Mr. Maguire 248 brainstormed an idea or option for the Committee to make a recommendation to the full 249 Board to put out a solicitation published in all the various channels seeking comment on 250 the digital divide from any interested stakeholders with a due date for any comment or 251 proposal. 252 253 It was determined that the Board would need to approve the decision to send out a 254 survey and then to task the Outreach and Communications Committee with developing 255 the survey with staff. If approved by the Board, development of survey questions would 256 happen at the next Committee meeting. 257 258 It was M(Tate)/S(Rodgers)/C that the Outreach and Communications Committee work 259 with Executive Officer prior to the next committee meeting to begin to formulate a 260 survey for presentation at the next Committee meeting. 261

Dr. Jo Linder Crow, CPA, asked for clarification as to whom the theoretical survey wouldbe directed to.

264

Ms. Sorrick replied that the Board would determine who the outreach would be directed to if they opted to task the Committee with the creation of a survey. She stated that her initial thought was that the survey would be something possibly sent to licensees, consumer groups, and to nonprofits or educational institutions that would likely have the

- subject matter expertise in the area in the effort to obtain a broad perspective.
- 270

272

271 There was no further Committee or public comment offered.

273 Vote: 2 Ayes (Rodgers, Tate), 0 Noes

Dr. Rodgers queried whether the CE requirement listing in Agenda Item 13 (a) would be
a one-time requirement or a requirement at each renewal period to which Ms. Sorrick
confirmed was a four-hour requirement at each renewal period.

278

Dr. Jo Linder Crow, California Psychological Association, asked for an update as to when regulations would be ready for implementation and if there were a chance that regulations would go into effect in 2021.

Mr. Glasspiegel stated that he could provide an update as to where they were at that time and that they had been sent to the Office of Administrative Law and would be in the notice register on October 2, 2020 which would begin the 45-day comment period. He stated that the Board website would update and notify the public and emails sent to interested parties about the opening of the comment period.

288

289 Mr. Glasspiegel provided a general timeline of the process of approval and

- implementation and stated there was a possibility the package could be approved and implemented in 2021 but could be early 2022 as well.
- 292

It was stated that there would be a hearing regarding the package at the November2020 Board Meeting.

- 295
- 296 Public comment
- 297

Mr. Luis Aguilar, doctoral student and committee member of the Social Justice and Advocacy Committee for Hispanic Neuropsychological Society asked Mr. Glasspiegel if the Continuing Professional Development Regulatory Document would be available to the public and asked for confirmation of the name of the individual who had assisted in the creation of language in the document.

303

Mr. Glasspiegel replied that the assisting individual's name was Dr. Miguel Gallardo and that the document had been sent for posting to the Board website and that he

- anticipated the documents would be available to view within 7-10 days. He indicated 306
- that the documents were also accessible through previous Board meeting materials and 307 that he would provide Mr. Aguilar with the document via email. 308
- 309

Agenda Item 14: Recommendations for Agenda Items for Future Committee 310

- <u>Meetings.</u> 311
- 312
- There was no Committee or public comment offered. 313 314

315 ADJOURNMENT

- 316
- The meeting adjourned at 11:39 a.m. 317

Survey Questions to Assess Barriers to Telehealth

- 1. What are the technical barriers to telehealth?
 - a. Broadband access
 - b. Technical support
 - c. Other
- 2. What are the practice barriers to telehealth?
 - a. HIPAA compliant technology
 - b. Client preference
 - c. Other
- 3. What are the financial barriers to telehealth?
 - a. Insurance reimbursement
 - b. Client ability to pay
 - c. MediCal/Medicare reimbursement
 - d. Other
- 4. What are the legal barriers (in order to meet reimbursement criteria) to telehealth?
 - a. Legislation
 - b. Regulation
 - c. Other
- 5. What are the training barriers to telehealth?
 - a. Lack of formal study in advanced program
 - b. Lack to training in training programs
 - c. Lack of supervision opportunities
 - d. Other