	BUSINESS, CONSUMER SERVICES, AND HOUSING AGENCY · GOVERNOR EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
DEP3RTM	BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY – Administration 1625 N. Market Blvd., Suite N-215, Sacramento, CA 95834 P 916.574.7720 F 916.574.8672 www.psychology.ca.gov
5	BOARD MEETING MINUTES
6 7 8 9 10	Doubletree Hotel 1646 Front Street San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 239-6800
10 11 12	
12	Thursday, November 20, 2014
14 15 16	Michael Erickson, PhD, Board President called the open session meeting to order at 9:37 a.m. A quorum was present and due notice had been sent to all interested parties.
17	Members Present:
18	Michael Erickson, PhD, President
19 20	Miguel Gallardo, PhD, Vice-President Lucille Acquaye-Baddoo, Public Member
20 21	Johanna Arias-Bhatia, Public Member
22	Andrew Harlem, PhD
23	Jacqueline Horn, PhD
24	Nicole J. Jones, Public Member
25	Stephen Phillips, PsyD, JD
26	Linda Starr, Public Member
27 28	Others Present:
28 29	Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer
30	Jeffrey Thomas, Assistant Executive Officer
31	Sandra Monterrubio, Enforcement Program Manager
32	Karen Johnson, Licensing Coordinator
33	Colette McDowell, Continuing Education/Renewals Coordinator
34	Norine Marks, DCA Legal Counsel
35	Raymond J. Trybus, PhD, President, Walden University, & San Diego
36 37	Psychology Association Erin Parks, PhD, University of California, San Diego
38	June Liang, PhD, University of California, San Diego
39	Jo Linder-Crow, PhD, California Psychological Association (CPA)
40	Talia Cortese, University of San Diego Center for Public Interest Law
41	Pamela McCrory, PhD, Los Angeles County Psychological Association
42	
43	Agenda Item #1: President's Welcome
44 45	Dr. Erickoon welcomed the public Board members, and Board staff and save a brief
45 46	Dr. Erickson welcomed the public, Board members, and Board staff and gave a brief statement about the mission of the Board of Psychology.
40 47	statement about the mission of the board of risychology.
• •	

- Dr. Erickson stated that while public comment is welcome, issues brought before the Board would not be addressed, if not related to an item on the agenda.

Agenda Item #2: Approval of Minutes: August 21-22, 2014 Board Meeting 

Dr. Horn noticed the word "mailed" was used twice on line 24, page 5 and suggested removing the second word. 

Ms. Jones asked that the sentence on page 17, line 25 be re-worded to more accurately reflect the comment that she had made at the last Board meeting. Line 25 was changed to "this is helpful in advancing the goals of the Policy and Advocacy Committee."

Ms. Jones also pointed out that "Advocacy Committee" should be listed as "Policy and Advocacy Committee" on page 17, line 18.

Dr. Horn asked that a sentence stating that she suggested an educational program for Administrative Law Judges be removed on page 18, line 3.

It was M (Phillips)/S (Arias-Bhatia)/C to approve the minutes as amended.

Vote: 9 yea (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay.

#### **CLOSED SESSION**

The Board adjourned into **closed session** pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(c)(3) to discuss disciplinary matters, including stipulations and proposed decisions. 

#### Return to Open Session

Dr. Erickson called the meeting back to order and invited Mr. Thomas to begin the budget report.

#### Agenda Item #3: Budget Report

Mr. Thomas presented the budget report and referred to the budget materials provided in the Board packets.

Ms. Arias-Bhatia asked about licensing fee reductions and Mr. Thomas stated that once

the loan from the general fund is repaid, the Board would then be able to consider

- licensing fee reductions.
- Dr. Phillips asked why the revenue has decreased and expenditure has increased. Mr.
- Thomas stated that the chart is only a projection and will probably not reflect the same
- trend later in the year. Ms. Sorrick added that the chart only reflects the spending of the
- governor's budget that is allotted to the Board each year in the Board's budget rather
- than its fund.

- 1 Dr. Linder-Crow asked whether the budget for salaries and wages would allow the
- 2 Board to increase staffing.
- Mr. Thomas stated that the Board is fully staffed and that a Budget Change Proposal
  would need to be submitted to further augment the staff.
  - Dr. Erickson thanked Mr. Thomas for his report.

# 89 Agenda Item #4: Enforcement Report

10
11 Ms. Monterrubio presented the enforcement report and referred to the materials
12 provided in the Board packets.

Dr. Erickson asked how target dates are established. Ms. Monterrubio replied that the
 Department of Consumer Affairs determines the established target dates.

17 Dr. Erickson thanked Ms. Monterrubio for her report.

## 1819 LICENSING COMMITTEE

20

16

7

The Licensing Committee met to discuss and formulate its recommendations to theBoard.

# Agenda Item #5: Licensing Committee Report – Dr. Jacqueline Horn 25

#### 26 a) Application Report

Ms. Johnson referred the Board to the application statistics provided in the Board
packets.

#### 31 **b) Examination Report**

Ms. Johnson referred the Board to the examination statistics provided in the Board
 packets.

#### 35

30

32

# 36 c) Overview of the Examination Development Process (Office of Professional 37 Examination Services)

38

Dr. Horn invited the Office of Professional Examination Services (OPES) to provide an
 overview of the examination development process.

- 41
- 42 Amy Welch-Gandy and Heidi Lincer-Hill from OPES personnel provided an overview of 43 the examination development process and referred to an informational PowerPoint
- 44 presentation that addressed the recent problems associated with the CPSE.
- 45

# 46 d) Discussion and Consideration of Questions Regarding the February CPSE 47 Examination

1 Dr. Linder-Crow, Executive Director, California Psychological Association (CPA), 2 thanked the OPES for its presentation. She asked if it was acceptable for less than half 3 of participants to fail the examination and how such a phenomenon could be explained. 4 She also asked if the results have been correlated in any way with the participants' 5 descriptive statistics or individual educational background. 6 7 The OPES representatives replied that the pass rate on an exam can fluctuate due to 8 variables, such as applicant populations and educational qualifications, even though the 9 examination process has remained constant. She added that the pass rates vary across 10 the other boards and it is hard to pinpoint factors that directly affect the pass rates. 11 12 Dr. Raymond Trybus asked to what extent that they examine the demographic 13 information of the examination applicants and if that is taken into account. 14 15 The OPES representatives stated that they do not have access to that information 16 because it is not collected. Therefore, they are unable to analyze or establish a 17 correlation between demographics and passing rates. 18 19 Dr. Linder-Crow pointed out that if the exam is measuring "minimal competence" as 20 OPES has previously stated, then the student training programs may interpret that 21 information as an indicator that something is wrong with their program when no problem 22 is actually present. 23 24 The OPES representatives stated that from their perspective, the passing rates are not 25 low because the exams are made to differentiate between competent applicants and 26 those who are not competent. 27 28 Dr. Erin Parks suggested that the distribution of scores be examined by OPES. 29 30 Dr. Parks also asked if a change in the examination location could be looked into due to 31 her own negative testing experience. She added that Dr. June Liang also had a 32 negative testing experience. 33 34 Dr. Liang asked how a repeat of the low passing scores can be avoided in the future. 35 36 The OPES representatives stated that making comments at the end of the testing 37 session would have been the best way to provide input, but writing a letter to the Board 38 and having it forwarded to OPES would now be the best way to provide public input. 39 40 Dr. Horn thanked the OPES representatives for providing information about the 41 examination process. 42 43 Dr. Horn stated that the Committee believes the examination process was fair and that 44 sufficient efforts were made to assist participants who did not pass the exam. 45 46 Dr. Gallardo asked what the Board's role is in trying to impact the curriculum or process 47 of training programs. He expressed concern for possible discrepancies between what 48 students are being trained to do in graduate programs and what skills are needed in 49 real-world applications.

- Dr. Horn suggested making the issue an agenda item for the Outreach and Education
   Committee.
- 4
- 5 Ms. Sorrick stated that the Board staff can provide the occupational analysis to training 6 programs which would help prepare test applicants.
- Ms. Jones thanked OPES for the helpful presentation on the examination development
  process and agreed that providing the occupational analysis would be helpful for test
  applicants.
- 11
- Dr. Harlem asked at what point the variations in the examination passing rates becomestatistically significant and expressed desire to have that information provided.
- 14
- Dr. Linder-Crow asked if the Board receives any trend information from OPES, such as
   the types of questions missed. She expressed concern that OPES would consider a
   passing rate of 10 percent to be not out of the ordinary.
- 18
- 19 Dr. Trybus indicated that from a training program perspective, he is very supportive of
- 20 the Board communicating what they should be teaching their students based on the
- 21 evolution of the profession.
- 22
- 23 Dr. Erickson thanked OPES for the presentation and the public for their comment.
- 24
- 25 It was M(Erickson)/S(Arias-Bhatia)/C to send an e-mail to OPES regarding "what is a
- significant variation (Applicant pool/something wrong with the test, various over
- threshold that shows trends, etc.)." Ms. Sorrick was given the authority to work with Dr.
  Harlem on the e-mail to OPES.
- 29
- Vote: 9 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones,
   Phillips, Starr) 0 nay.
- 32

### 33 e) Renewal Report

- 34
- Ms. McDowell referred to an informational PowerPoint presentation and renewal
   statistics provided in the Board packets.
- 37 38 f) Continuing Educa
- 38 39

### f) Continuing Education Report

- 40 Ms. McDowell referred to an informational PowerPoint presentation and continuing
   41 education statistics provided in the Board packets.
- 42

# g) Discussion and Consideration of Supervised Professional Experience (SPE) 16, CCR Section 1387 – accruing SPE for the Activity of "Supervision"

- 45
- 46 Dr. Horn summarized the issue of accruing SPE for the activity of "supervision" and
- 47 referred to the draft proposed language provided in the hand carry items.
- 48

Dr. Horn reported the Licensing Committee's recommendation to approve the language 1 2 for agenda item G. 3 4 It was M/(Horn)/S(Phillips)/C that the Board approve the language for noticing. 5 6 Vote: 9 ave (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquave-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, 7 Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay. 8 9 It was M/(Phillips)/S(Starr)/C to delegate the authority to staff to make technical non-10 substantive changes. 11 12 Vote: 9 ave (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, 13 Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay. 14 15 **Board Approved Language** 16 **1387.** Supervised Professional Experience. 17 18 This section applies to all trainees, pre- or post-doctoral, who intend for hours of 19 supervised professional experience (SPE) to count toward meeting the licensing requirement stated in section 2914(c) of the Business and Professions Code. Those 20 21 trainees accruing hours of supervised experience in areas of psychology that do not 22 include direct mental health services should refer to section 1387.3 for information on 23 establishing an alternate plan for SPE. SPE is defined as an organized program that 24 consists of a planned, structured, and administered sequence of professionally 25 supervised comprehensive clinical applied training experiences. SPE shall have a 26 logical training sequence that builds upon the skills and competencies of trainees to 27 prepare them for the independent practice of psychology once they become licensed. 28 SPE shall include socialization into the profession of psychology and shall be 29 augmented by integrated modalities including mentoring, didactic exposure, 30 rolemodeling, enactment observational/vicarious learning, and consultative guidance. 31 SPE shall include activities which that address the integration of psychological concepts 32 and current and evolving competencies, scientific knowledge, principles, and theories to the professional delivery of psychological services to the consumer public. SPE shall 33 34 include only the time spent by the trainee engaged in psychological activities that 35 directly serve to prepare the trainee for the independent practice of psychology once licensed. SPE shall not include custodial tasks such as filing, transcribing, or other 36 37 clerical duties. The term "trainee" as used in these regulations means a psychology

trainee working under one of the conditions listed in subsections (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this section. h) Licensing Action Plan – Proposed Improvements to the Licensing Process -Update Ms. Johnson presented the Licensing Action Plan and referred to the informational statistics and survey results provided in the Board packets. Ms. Sorrick referred to an informational PowerPoint to explain the online process of application that will be provided for consumers on the Board's website. i) Discussion and Consideration of Business & Professions Code Section 2903 -**Rendering Services for a Fee** Dr. Horn summarized the issue of rendering services for a fee and referred to the proposed language changes provided in the hand carry items. Dr. Harlem expressed concern about individuals being perceived to be providing services when that was not the intention. He suggested modifying the language "professional relationship" for clarification. Dr. Phillips expressed agreement with Dr. Harlem's concern. Drs. Erickson and Harlem suggested adding pro bono language rather than removing the fee language. Ms. Jones suggested reviewing Dr. Alban's language that he presented at the August Board meeting. Members of the public then commented. Dr. Trybus suggested adding the term "formal" to the language in order to help define psychological services. Dr. Linder-Crow expressed agreement with Ms. Jones's suggestion to review Dr. Alban's language. Dr. McCrory stated that she provides pro bono services and expressed concern that her patients would not have recourse. She thanked the Board for addressing the issue. It was M/(Horn)/S(Erickson)/W to pursue legislative changes to section 2903 regarding psychology fee for services. It was M/(Horn)/S(Erickson)/C to withdraw the motion and that the language for section 2903 regarding psychology fee for services be brought back to the Board at the January Meeting. 

Vote: 9 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, 1 2 Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay. 3 4 5 j) YouTube Video – Psychological Assistant Application 6 7 Dr. Horn presented the psychological assistant application YouTube video. Ms. Johnson 8 stated that the video is now available on the Board's website. 9 10 k) Public comment for items not on the agenda 11 12 Dr. Horn invited the Committee members, Board members, and public for comments or 13 questions. 14 It was M(Horn)/S(Phillips)/C for the Board to accept the informational items of the 15 16 Licensing Committee including a, b, e, f, h, and j. 17 18 Vote: 9 ave (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquave-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, 19 Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay. 20 21 I) Closed Session – the Licensing Committee will meet in Closed Session 22 Pursuant to Government Code Section 11126 (c)(2) 23 24 Dr. Horn reported that the Committee had received an application from E.V. to accrue 25 SPE in the area of non-mental health services. The attachment was provided describing 26 the plan for accruing the supervision hours.

- Dr. Horn reported that the Committee recommends the Board approve the plan.
- 30 It was M/(Horn)/S(Phillips)/C to approve the plan for SPE in non-mental health area.
- Vote: 9 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones,
  Phillips, Starr) 0 nay.
- Dr. Horn reported that an individual (E.M.) requested an extension of the thirty (30)
   consecutive months required to accrue SPE.
- 38 It was M/(Horn)/S(Acquaye-Baddoo)/C to not extend the time past thirty (30) months.
- 40 Vote: 8 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Phillips,
  41 Starr) 1 nay (Jones).
- 4243 Dr. Horn reported that an individual (J.G.) is requesting that the Board accept his or her
- 44 doctorate degree in Applied Behavioral Sciences from Union Institute and University as
- 45 a degree in psychology. The Committee recommends that the Board accepts the
- 46 doctorate degree as a degree in psychology because this specific doctorate degree was
- 47 previously accepted by the Board.
- 48

1 2 3	It was M/(Horn)/S(Erickson)/C to accept the degree to qualify for licensure as a psychologist.
3 4 5 6	Vote: 8 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, Phillips) – 1 nay (Starr).
7 8 9 10	Dr. Horn reported that an individual (J.R.) is requesting exemption from the continuing education requirements. The Committee determined that the individual is providing direct mental services and recommends that the Board does not approve the request.
10 11 12 13	It was M/(Horn)/S(Erickson)/C to accept the Committee's recommendation to not approve the request.
14 15 16	Vote: 9 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay.
17 18 19 20	Dr. Horn reported that an individual (L.O.) is seeking approval for a plan to accrue SPE. The Committee recommends that the plan is not accepted due to a lack of information and specificity.
20 21 22	It was M/(Horn)/S(Erickson)/C to accept the Committee's recommendation.
22 23 24 25	Dr. Gallardo suggested to the Board that the plan includes enough specificity and information to be approved.
23 26 27	Dr. Horn withdrew her motion and Dr. Erickson withdrew his second.
27 28 29	It was M/(Horn)/S(Phillips)/C to approve the plan to accrue SPE.
30 31 32 33	Vote: 9 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay.
34 35 36 37	Dr. Gallardo asked that the Board readdress the closed session Licensing Committee's item concerning the individual requesting an extension of the 30-consecutive months allowed for the accrual of SPE.
38 39 40	It was M/(Gallardo)/S(Jones)/C to reconsider the vote on E.M.'s request of the thirty (30) month extension.
41 42 43	Vote: 8 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay, 1 abstaining (Acquaye-Baddoo).
44 45 46 47 48 49	Dr. Gallardo stated that the individual's reasons for not completing the SPE hours are legitimate. He added that the individual is asking to include accrued SPE hours from five years ago and he does not see that circumstance being any different from the Board allowing individuals in exempt settings five years to accrue hours for licensure. He expressed desire for granting the individual's request.

1 2	Dr. Horn stated that she agrees with Dr. Gallardo.
2 3 4 5 6	Ms. Acquaye-Baddoo expressed concern regarding the fairness in allowing the individual more time to gain knowledge that would help him or her pass examinations to become licensed.
0 7 8 9	Dr. Phillips stated that the individual requesting the extension made the decisions that led to her situation and does not appear to be a victim of circumstance.
10 11 12	Mr. Thomas stated that the 30- consecutive month policy is also applied to exempt settings.
12 13 14	It was M/(Gallardo)/S(Erickson)/C to accept the request to grant the extension.
15 16 17 18	Votes: 6 aye (Harlem, Arias-Bhatia, Gallardo, Jones, Horn) – 2 nay (Phillips, Starr), 1 abstaining (Acquaye-Baddoo).
19	Agenda #6: Policy and Advocacy Committee Report – Nicole J. Jones
20 21 22	a) Legislation Update, Review and Action, as Necessary
23 24 25	The Policy and Advocacy Committee met to formulate its recommendations to the Board.
26 27 28	Ms. Jones stated that she would be presenting each bill from the past legislative session during the full Board meeting.
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	Dr. Linder-Crow stated that she wanted to update the Board on AB 1775 that added language to CANRA in an attempt to modernize it and accommodate new technologies by adding terms such as "download" and "stream." She stated that the authors do not believe that adding language changed the reporting mandate of the bill. The governor signed this bill and, as a result, CPA has been receiving questions regarding the new law. She stated that there appears to be confusion regarding the law and differing opinions on whether or not there has been a change to mandated reporting. She added that CPA will be seeking the opinions from legislative counsel and encouraged the Board to do so as well.
39 40	Ms. Jones thanked Dr. Linder-Crow for the update and suggested analyzing AB 1775.
41 42 43	<ol> <li>AB 186 (Maienschein) – Professions and Vocations: Military Spouses: Temporary Licenses</li> </ol>
44 45	Ms. Jones reported that the Board voted to take an "Oppose" position on AB 186 at the August Board meeting and this bill was signed by the Governor.
46 47 48	2) AB 809 (Logue) – Healing Arts: Telehealth

- Ms. Jones reported that the Board voted to take a "Support" position on AB 809 at the
- 2 August Board meeting and this bill was signed by the Governor.

Ms. Sorrick stated that AB 809 was an urgency bill and, therefore, became effective
immediately after it was signed on September 18<sup>th</sup>. The Board put out a notice
regarding AB 809 which was posted on the Board's website.

7 8

9

13

14

19

28

29

#### 3) AB 1702 (Maienschein) – Professions and Vocations: Incarceration

Ms. Jones reported that the Board voted to take an "Oppose" position on AB 1702 and
the bill was signed by the Governor.

#### 4) AB 1843 (Gordon/Jones) – Child Custody Evaluations

Ms. Jones reported that the Board voted to take a "Support" position at the June Board
 meeting on AB 1843 and the bill was signed by the Governor.

#### 18 **5)** AB 2058 (Wilk) – Open Meetings

Ms. Jones reported that the Board voted to take a "Watch" position on AB 2058 and the
bill was vetoed by the Governor.

# AB 2198 (Levine) – Mental Health Professionals and Suicide Prevention

Ms. Jones reported that the Board voted to take an "Oppose" position on AB 2198 and
the bill was vetoed by the governor. She summarized the Governor's veto letter.

#### 7) AB 2396 (Bonta) – Convictions: Expungement: Licenses

Ms. Jones reported that the Board voted to take an "Oppose" position on AB 2396 and
 the bill was signed by the Governor.

## 33 8) SB 1159 (Lara) – License Applicants: Federal Tax Identification 34

Ms. Jones reported the Board voted to take a "Watch" position on SB 1159 and the bill
was signed by the Governor.

# 38 9) SB 1466 (DeSaulnier) – Health Care Coverage 39

40 Ms. Jones reported that the Board voted to take a "Support" position on SB 1466 and41 the bill was signed by the Governor.

## 42 43 b) Exempt Settings Legislative Proposal (Business and Professions Code 44 Sections 2909, 2909.5, and 2910)

45

Ms. Jones invited Mr. Thomas to present the legislative proposal to the Committee.
 47

- 48 Mr. Thomas reported that the Board is seeking a legislative proposal that would ensure
- 49 that employees in exempt settings are working towards accruing supervised

1 professional experience for licensure as a psychologist. He stated that the proposal 2 would also limit the exemption period to five (5) years. He added that the requested 3 motion is that the Committee recommends approving the bill proposal as written. 4 5 It was M/(Phillips)/S(Acquaye-Baddoo)/C to approve the bill proposal as written. 6 7 Vote: 9 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, 8 Phillips, Starr) – 0 nav. 9 10 c) Submission of Supervised Professional Experience Legislative Proposal 11 (Business and Professions Code Section 2914) 12 13 Mr. Thomas referred to the hand carry items and reported that this legislative proposal 14 seeks to change the way that Supervised Professional Experience (SPE) forms are 15 submitted to the Board. 16 17 It was M/(Erickson)/S(Starr)/C to approve the language to seek a legislative change. 18 19 Vote: 9 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, 20 Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay. 21 22 d) 2014/15 Legislative Calendar 23 24 Ms. Jones referred to the informational Legislative Calendar provided in the Board 25 packets. 26 27 e) Update Regarding the California Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act 28 (CANRA) and Mandated Reporting - Penal Code Sections 261.5, 288, and 29 11165.1 30 31 Ms. Jones stated that the Board voted at its February meeting to request Senator 32 Steinberg's Office send a request for clarification to the Office of the Attorney General 33 as to whether or not a psychologist who becomes aware of consensual acts of sodomy 34 and oral copulation between minors is reportable as abuse. There is a body of case law 35 that says it is not, but the language in the law and training manuals say that it is. This is 36 causing confusion regarding this very sensitive issue. 37 38 Board Member Linda Starr submitted the request to Senator Steinberg's staff and they 39 have indicated that the Office of the Attorney General has not yet released the opinion. 40 41 Ms. Jones reported that the Board is still waiting to hear a response regarding the 42 Board's request. 43 44 f) Regulation Update, Review, and Action as Necessary 45 46 1) Title 16, CCR, Section 1397.12 – Uniform Standards Related to Substance 47 Abuse and Disciplinary Guidelines 48

1 2 3	Mr. Thomas reported that the Board received input from the DCA legislative counsel and the action request is that the Committee recommends approving the modified text.
3 4 5 6 7	Ms. Jones advised the Board that it is possible for psychology evaluations to come from optional term to standard and suggested that this could be added as a future agenda item.
8 9 10	Dr. Erickson suggested that the Board proceed with the standards as written and revaluate later, perhaps with an ad hoc committee.
10 11 12 13	It was M/(Jones)/S(Phillips)/C to adopt the modified text for the uniform standards related to substance abuse and disciplinary guidelines.
13 14 15 16	Vote: 9 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay.
17 18	It was M/(Jones)/S(Erickson)/C to delegate authority to the Executive Officer to make any non-substantive or technical changes in completing the rulemaking file.
19 20 21	Vote: 9 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay.
22 23 24 25 26	<ol> <li>Title 16, CCR, Sections 1388, 1388.6, 1389, 1392 – Examinations, License Requirements and Waiver of Exemption, Reconsideration of Examinations, Psychologists Fees</li> </ol>
20 27 28 29 30 31 32	Ms. Jones reported the Board voted to allow staff to make non-substantive changes at the regulation hearing in August and that the Executive Officer was delegated authority by the Board to approve the amended language in the absence of negative comments. and referred to the revised language provided in the Board packets. She added that this agenda item is informational and no action is requested.
33 34 35	<ul> <li>g) Discussion and consideration of amending Title 16, CCR, Section 1380.5 – Filing of Addresses</li> </ul>
36 37 38	Ms. Jones reported that the proposed regulatory amendments would require licensees to provide their email addresses to the Board if applicable.
39 40 41	It was M/(Jones)/S(Starr)/C to approve the language for noticing and set for a regulatory hearing.
42	Approved Language
43	§ 1380.5. Filing of Address.
44	(a) Each person holding a license as a psychologist shall file with the board his or
45	her residential address and proper and current mailing address, if different-and
46 47	shall report immediately to the board at its Sacramento office any and all changes of address, giving both his old and new address. In addition to the

1 2	residential and mailing addresses, the licensee may provide the Board with an alternative address of record. If an alternative address is the address of record,
3	the licensee may request that his or her residential address not be disclosed to
4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>the public.</li> <li>(b) Each applicant and licensee who has an electronic mail address shall report to the board that electronic mail address no later than July 1, 2015, and shall maintain a current electronic address with the Board. The electronic mail address shall be considered confidential and not subject to public disclosure.</li> <li>(c) Within 30 days after a change of any address, the applicant or licensee shall</li> </ul>
10 11 12	<u>report to the Board any and all changes, giving both his or her old and new</u> address(es).
13 14 15	h) Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda
15 16 17	There was no public comment.
18 19 20	<u>Agenda Item #7: Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA) Task Force Report – Johanna</u> <u>Arias-Bhatia</u>
20 21 22 23 24 25	Ms. Arias-Bhatia began her report of the Applied Behavior Analysis (ABA) Task Force by summarizing the goals of the Task Force. She stated that the ABA Task Force meeting was held on October 13 <sup>th</sup> and specifically thanked Drs. Don Crowder, Elizabeth Winkleman, Jim Carr, Gina Green, and Daniel Shabani for attending the meeting.
26 27 28 29	Ms. Arias-Bhatia reported that the Task Force determined that the goal of the Task Force was to identify where Behavioral Analysis licensure would be housed. She added that the California Association for Behavior Analysis (CalABA) submitted a Sunrise Application and a response questionnaire would be published in mid-December.
30 31 32 33	Ms. Arias-Bhatia stated that the Task Force will address how the licensure of Behavioral Analysis will impact boards and provide input during the process.
34 35 36	Dr. Gallardo stated that he believes consumers, psychologists, and behavioral analysts would benefit from the Board housing the licensure of Behavioral Analysis.
37 38 39	Dr. Harlem expressed concern in showing too much support for the ABA issue early in the process when it may evolve into a situation that the Board no longer supports.
40 41 42 43	Dr. Gallardo commented that this issue is gaining momentum and that it is important for the Board to be at the table and participating when the discussion is happening. Dr. Horn expressed agreement with Dr. Gallardo's comment.
44 45	It was M/(Starr)/S(Acquaye-Baddoo)/C to approve the Task Force recommendation that its goal be to determine where ABA practitioners be housed.
46 47 48	Vote: 9 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay.

1 2 Dr. Erickson thanked the Board members, Board staff, and public. 3 4 The open session meeting was adjourned at 6:01 p.m. 5 6 Friday, November 21, 2014 7 8 Michael Erickson, Ph.D., Board President called the open session meeting to order at 9 9:00 a.m. A quorum was present and due notice had been sent to all interested parties. 10 11 **Members Present:** 12 Michael Erickson, PhD, President Miguel Gallardo, PhD, Vice-President 13 14 Lucille Acquaye-Baddoo, Public Member 15 Johanna Arias-Bhatia, Public Member 16 Andrew Harlem, PhD 17 Jacqueline Horn, PhD 18 Nicole J. Jones, Public Member 19 Stephen Phillips, PsyD, JD 20 Linda Starr, Public Member 21 22 **Others Present:** 23 Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer 24 Jeffrey Thomas, Assistant Executive Officer 25 Sandra Monterrubio, Enforcement Program Manager 26 Karen Johnson, Licensing Coordinator 27 Colette McDowell, Continuing Education/Renewals Coordinator Norine Marks, DCA Legal Counsel 28 29 Raymond J. Trybus, PhD, President, Walden University, San Diego Psychology 30 Association 31 Jo Linder-Crow, PhD, California Psychological Association 32 Talia Cortese, University of San Diego Center for Public Interest Law 33 Pamela McCrory, PhD, Los Angeles County Psychological Association 34 Hugh Pates, PhD, San Diego Psychological Association 35 Katherine Quinn, San Diego Psychological Association 36 37 Agenda Item #8: Regulatory Hearing – Title 16, CCR, Sections 1397.60, 1397.61, 38 1397.62, 1397.67 – Definitions, Continuing Education Requirements, Continuing 39 Education Exemptions and Exceptions, Renewal after Inactive or Delinguent 40 Status 41 42 Dr. Erickson commenced the regulatory hearing. He stated that the regulation proposal 43 was filed with the Office of Administrative Law and has been duly noticed to interested 44 parties. 45 46 Dr. Trybus commended the Board for their attention to the matter. He stated that he was 47 planning to comment on the definitions regarding academic course provided in 48 previously drafted language, but the official language has his concerns addressed. 49

1 Dr. Linder-Crow commended the Board for their work and stated that other regulatory 2 boards are also looking into the matter, but acknowledged that California is moving very 3 quickly on this. She stated that CPA has been very happy to attend meetings and 4 discussions. 5 6 In the final review, CPA noticed one inconsistency in the language of section 7 1397.61(f)(2). Dr. Linder-Crow stated that the language in 1397.61(f)(2)(b) describes 8 how a person becomes approved as a provider. She points out that the narrowed 9 language differs from the broader definition of 1397.61(d). She added that the language 10 is not used in earlier versions and is inconsistent. She stated that CPA recommends 11 that the language of 1397.61(f)(2)(b) be deleted and the 1397.61(d) language be used 12 in place of subsection (b) and in later parts of the document. 13 14 Dr. Horn stated that she does not see any discrepancies or narrowing in language 15 between the two sections. She added that one of the issues the Board was concerned 16 about was CE being relevant to clinical practice. 17 18 The Board agreed on clarifying the language by adding the phrase "relevance to 19 practice" and adding a slash (/) between "CE" and "CPD" to decrease confusion and 20 indicate that the two terms are related. 21 22 Dr. Hugh Pates stated that the San Diego Psychological Association finds the document 23 to be encouraging and productive. He asked about who would be approving CEUs for 24 the activities that would be considered peer consultation and when peer consultation 25 can take place. 26 27 Dr. Horn stated that peer consultation would not necessarily be considered a sponsored continuing education program, although it is allowed if people are a part of a 28 29 consultation group in which activities are logged. Each licensee would have to 30 demonstrate that he or she has taken part in peer consultation rather than an agency. She added that January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2016, is when the new regulations regarding CE would go 31 32 into effect. 33 34 Dr. Horn addressed the submitted written comments. 35 36 Dr. Horn reported that the first written comment expressed concern for requiring a 37 person to receive credit in two (2) out of four (4) areas and asked what peer 38 consultation would require on the part of the licensee. She continued to the second 39 written comment which addressed the allowance of 75% of CE to be accumulated 40 through technological means and asked if that would be impacted by what the Board 41 now requires. 42 43 Dr. Gallardo stated that it appears as if the individuals are concerned about the 44 limitation of sponsored CE units and whether or not that will hinder their ability to earn 45 CE units. 46 47 Ms. Jones stated that providing a rationale and explanation of the Board's decisions 48 would prove to be helpful. 49

1 Dr. Linder-Crow stated that when the change in ethics was made, there was a 2 surprising amount of opposition regarding the broadening of what an individual could do. She added that the ethics situation is very similar to this CE situation. She offered 3 4 the help of CPA to educate other psychologists about what these changes mean. She 5 encouraged the Board to maintain a strong stance regarding their changes to CE. 6 7 Dr. Erickson thanked the staff, public, and Board members before closing the hearing. 8 9 Agenda Item #9: Adoption of Amendments to Title 16, CCR, Sections 1397.60, 10 1397.61, 1397.62, 1397.67 – Definitions, Continuing Education Requirements, 11 Continuing Education Exemptions and Exceptions, Renewal after Inactive or 12 **Delinguent Status** 13 14 It was M/(Erickson)S/(Jones)/C to approve the modified text for a 15-day public 15 comment period in which 1397.61(f)(2)(B) reads "programs that focus on non-traditional 16 or emerging practice or theory and can demonstrate relevance to practice," "approving 17 agencies" is changed to "approving organization" in 1397.61(f)(2), 1397.61(d) reads 18 "CE/CPD," and 1397.61(b) was amended to incorporate the CE Verification Log by 19 reference to read "incorporated herein by reference." 20 Vote: 9 ave (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquave-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, 21 Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay. 22 23 It was M/(Starr)S/(Erickson)/C to delegate authority to the Executive Officer to make 24 non-substantive changes. 25 26 Vote: 9 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, 27 Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay. 28 29 Agenda Item #13: Outreach and Education Committee – Dr. Miguel Gallardo 30 31 a) Strategic Plan Update 32 33 Ms. Sorrick referred the Board to the informational Strategic Plan Update provided in 34 the Board packets and stated that the status of completion for each goal has also been 35 provided. 36 37 b) Communications Plan Update 38 39 Ms. Sorrick referred the Board to the Communications Plan Update and stated that the 40 update will be an ongoing, informational item provided at each Board meeting. 41 42 c) Social Media Update 43 44 Ms. Sorrick referred the Board to the Social Media Update document that was provided 45 in the Board packets. 46 47 d) Website Update 48

1 Ms. Sorrick referred the Board to the Website Update document that was provided in

2 the Board packets.

#### e) Newsletter

Ms. Sorrick referred the Board to the Fall Journal that was provided as a hand-carry
item.

#### f) Outreach Activities Update

Ms. Sorrick referred the Board to the Outreach Activities update document that was
included in the Board packets. She summarized the discussion at the ASPPB meeting
and stated that she, Dr. Erickson, and Dr. Horn were present.

She added that she, Dr. Erickson, and Dr. Gallardo also had a meeting with CPA and
 then asked Dr. Gallardo to summarize discussion topics of the meeting.

18 Dr. Gallardo stated that the goal of the meeting with CPA was to discuss and

19 collaborate on education and outreach, such as the implications and meaning of the CE

20 model. He summarized the discussion that took place during the meeting.

#### 21

3 4

9

#### g) Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda

22 23

Dr. Linder-Crow suggested the possibility of CPA and the Board working together to
create a full-day, professional development workshop that would address ethical
practice. She stated that other states have done this and the workshops have been very
well received.

28

Dr. Gallardo summarized the Committee report with input from Ms. Sorrick asapplicable.

31

32 Ms. Jones suggested adding suicide resources and statistics in the next newsletter in

regards to AB 2198. She also expressed desire to ensure that the Board includes
 various ethnic and cultural groups and organizations in outreach.

35

36 Dr. McCrory stated that the feedback for the Board's newsletters have been very

37 positive. In addition, she reported that LACPA receives many questions regarding

38 telepsychology and suggested adding facts or frequently asked questions regarding

- 39 telepsychology to the newsletter.
- 40

41 It was M/(Starr)S/(Acquaye-Baddoo)/C to accept the Outreach and Education

- 42 Committee report.
- 43

Vote: 9 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones,
 Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay.

46

47 Agenda Item #14: President's Report

- 48
- 49 a) Ad Hoc Committee Update

#### 1) <u>Telepsychology Committee</u>

Dr. Erickson stated that the Telepsychology Committee will consist of Dr. Phillips as the Chair and Dr. Harlem.

#### 2) Sunset Review Committee

Dr. Erickson stated that he and Dr. Horn will comprise the Sunset Review Committee with Dr. Erickson serving as the Chair.

#### b) 2014-15 Meeting Calendar and Locations

14 Dr. Erickson referred the Board to the 2014 and 2015 calendars that were provided in 15 the Board packets.

c) Other Informational Items

18 19 None.

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

16 17

20

22

24

30

32

#### 21 Agenda Item #14: Executive Officer's Report

#### 23 a) Organizational Update

Ms. Sorrick discussed the Organizational Update document that was provided in the
Board packets.

Ms. Sorrick thanked public affairs and publications for their work with the newsletter,
 website, videos, and other items.

#### 31 b) Annual Statistical Profile (ASP Report to the State Legislature)

Ms. Sorrick referred the Board to the Annual Statistical Profile that was provided in theBoard packets.

Dr. Pates asked about the Board's income and allocation of funds and Ms. Sorrick
directed Dr. Pates to the Budget Report that was discussed the previous day.

#### 39 c) CPS Program Analysis Update

40

41 Ms. Sorrick referred the Board to the CPS Program Analysis Update that was provided42 in the Board packets.

4344 d) Other Informational Items

45

46 None.

47

#### 48 Agenda Item #15: Election of Officers

1 2 3	Dr. Erickson indicated that he was willing to continue as President of the Board and Dr. Gallardo expressed his willingness to see another Board Member serve as Vice President.
4 5 6 7	Ms. Arias-Bhatia indicated that the Board may want to consider giving others an opportunity to serve.
8 9 10	Ms. Starr asked Dr. Erickson how long he has served as President of the Board and Dr. Erickson replied that he has served two and a half (2.5) years.
10 11 12 13	Dr. Phillips nominated Dr. Erickson for President and Ms. Arias-Bhatia nominated Ms. Jones for President.
13 14 15 16	Ms. Jones nominated Dr. Harlem for Vice President; however, Dr. Harlem declined the nomination.
10 17 18	Dr. Phillips nominated Ms. Jones for Vice President.
19 20 21	Dr. Gallardo indicated that he likes the idea of having a public member in one of the elected positions.
21 22 23	It was M (Horn)/S (Erickson)/C to accept the nominations by acclamation (9-0).
23 24 25 26	Vote: 9 aye (Erickson, Gallardo, Acquaye-Baddoo, Arias-Bhatia, Harlem, Horn, Jones, Phillips, Starr) – 0 nay.
20 27 28 29	There was a vote and another motion as follows: It was M (Erickson)/S (Phillips)/C that the terms of office become effective January 1, 2015, for one year.
29 30 31 32 33	<ol> <li>Closed Session – The Board will meet in Closed Session Pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(a)(1) to conduct its annual evaluation of its Executive Officer.</li> </ol>
34 35 36	The Board adjourned into <b>closed session</b> pursuant to Government code Section 11126(a)(1) to conduct its annual evaluation of its Executive Officer.
30 37 38	Agenda Item #16: Recommendations for Agenda Items for Future Board Meetings
39 40 41 42	Ms. Arias-Bhatia asked if the Board could provide information regarding passage rates by demographic categories. Ms. Sorrick stated that the Board may not have authority to do so.
43 44 45	Dr. Gallardo indicated that he did not believe that the role of the Board is to help people pass the exam.
46 47 48	Dr. Phillips stated that it is a primary purpose of the Board to make sure that individuals are competent to practice.

1 2	Ms. Jones requested that the Board members receive an advance copy of the draft minutes in order to refresh their memories prior to reviewing the agenda.
3	
4	Dr. Horn clarified that grammatical corrections could be provided to the Executive
5	Officer ahead of time. Ms. Marks indicated that this is allowed as long as it was to the
6	Executive Officer and not the full Board.
7	Agondo Itam #17, Public Commont for Itams not on the Agondo
8 9	Agenda Item #17: Public Comment for Items not on the Agenda
10	There was no public comment.
11	
12	Agenda Item #18: Adjournment
13	The Deerd edicurred at 10.50 p.m.
14 15	The Board adjourned at 12:50 p.m.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	President Date