

EPPP2 TASK FORCE MEETING MINUTES

Department of Consumer Affairs 1747 N. Market Blvd., HQ2 Hearing Room #186 Sacramento, CA 95834 (916) 574-7720

1 THURSDAY, APRIL 5, 2018

2

3 Agenda Item #1: Call to Order/Roll Call/Establishment of a Quorum

4 Sheryll Casuga, PsyD, Chairperson, called the EPPP 2 Task Force meeting to order at

- 5 9:36am. A quorum was present and due notice had been sent to all interested parties.
- 6

7 Members Present:

- 8
- 9 Sheryll Casuga, PsyD, Chairperson
- 10 Seyron Foo, Board Member
- 11 Amy Welch-Gandy, Office of Professional Examination Services (OPES)
- 12 Crystal Faith Cajilog, Student Representative of California Psychological Association of
- 13 Graduate Students
- 14 Anushree Belur, Student Representative of California Psychological Association of
- 15 Graduate Students for The Chicago School of Professional Psychology
- 16 William Bloxham, Student Representative of JFK University 5th Year Student
- 17 Sherry Johnson, Director of Clinical Training, Representative of University of California
- 18 Rene Puliatti, Esq, Representative of California Psychology Internship Council (CAPIC)
- 19 Andrew Harlem, PhD, Representative of California Institute of Integral Studies
- 20 Gilbert Newman, PhD, Representative of The Wright Institute
- 21 Alejandra Ojeda-Beck, Student Representative of California Psychological Association
- 22 of Graduate Students, UC Berkeley
- 23 Sherri Sedler, Student Representative of California Psychological Association of
- 24 Graduate Students, California Southern University
- Olga Belik, PhD, Representative of California Psychological Association (CPA), Division
 II
- 27

28 Others Present:

- 29
- 30 Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer
- 31 Jeffrey Thomas, Assistant Executive Officer
- 32 Stephanie Cheung, Licensing Manager
- 33 Cherise Burns, Central Services Manager
- 34 Lavinia Snyder, Examination Coordinator
- 35 Jason Glasspiegel, Central Services Coordinator
- 36 Norine Marks, Legal Counsel, Department of Consumer Affairs
- 37
- 38 Agenda Item #2: Chairperson Welcome
- 39

- 40 Dr. Casuga welcomed the Task Force members and those in attendance. Ms. Snyder
- 41 provided an overview of the contents of the packet provided to the attendees of the
- 42 Task Force meeting.43

44 Agenda Item #3: Public Comment(s) for Items not on the Agenda.

- 45
- 46 There were no public comments.
- 47

Agenda Item #4: Review and Discussion of the Development and Implementation
 of ASPPB's Enhanced EPPP (Presented by Dr. Emil Rodolfa, Chair of the ASPPB
 EPPP2 Implementation Task Force and Dr. Matthew Turner, ASPPB Director of
 Examination Program)

- 52
 53 Dr. Casuga introduced Dr. Rodolfa and Dr. Turner and advised of the presentation they
 54 will be providing for the Task Force.
- 55
 56 Dr. Turner and Dr. Rodolfa began the presentation on behalf of the Association of State
 57 and Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB).
- 58

Task Force members asked a variety of questions of the presenters during the
 presentation. Discussion ensued regarding the following topics: ASPPB's perceived
 deficiency that the EPPP 2 is trying to correct, questions relating to the content validity

62 of the new part of the examination, concerns related to the structure of the examination,

63 increased cost of the examination, the additional time needed for students to pass the

64 new part of the examination before licensure, and implementation timeline for the new

65 part of the examination, and ASPPB's lack of communication with member Board's

66 throughout the development process. Additional concerns were raised about when

students would or should be able to take the two parts of the examination and if this
 would cause delays in licensure and the inequity of allowing students from graduate

69 programs accredited by the American Psychological Association (APA) to take the

70 exam before degree completion versus students from regionally accredited programs.

71

72 Ms. Sorrick assured that the Task Force was aware of the importance of license

73 portability and not creating additional barriers to licensure while discussing this

74 question. Additionally, she provided the Task Force with a spectrum of options

regarding answers to the question. She advised that should the Task Force recommend to the Board not to adopt the EPPP 2, which would encompass both the knowledge and

77 competency based examinations, that the Board would need to create their own general

78 knowledge exam. She indicated that doing so may reduce licensure portability, as

79 states other than California will not administer the same examination, and therefore

- 80 licensees within California would not be expected to meet the criteria for licensure set
- 81 by other states, thus hindering licensees from California becoming licensed elsewhere.
- 82 83

Agenda Item #5: Task Force Discussion of the following issues:

- 84a. Is Implementation of a New National Licensing Examination in the85Best Interests of California Consumers of Psychological Services86and Prospective Licensees?
- 87

B7. Casuga introduced this question. After which she asked the Task Force members to
 B7. provide their thoughts on the issue.

90

91 Task Force members provided input on the question and expressed concerns over the 92 following issues: ensuring that the portability of California psychologist license is not 93 diminished, disbelief that the value added of the new part of the examination will 94 outweigh the additional costs and burdens it places on students and that the 95 examination would actually assess skills, the new part of the examination creating 96 additional barriers to entering the professional for socio-economically disadvantaged 97 students, and uncertainty that the new part of the exam will actually ensure competency 98 and enhance public protection. 99 100 Given the aforementioned comments by the Task Force members and Ms. Sorrick, Mr. 101 Foo stated that the new part of the examination will need to be considered for 102 implementation, but that there were significant concerns regarding ASPPB's anticipated 103 2020 start date as well as other items. 104 105 Discussion ensued and a sentiment was reached that introducing a secondary portion 106 to the examination was not in the best interest of California consumers of psychological 107 services and prospective licensees, but that the alternative of the Board abandoning the 108 EPPP and creating its own general knowledge exam was not feasible or desirable due 109 to potential issues with license portability. 110111 It was M(Harlem)/S (Newman)/C to move to agenda item 5(b) 112 113 Vote: 17 Aye, No-0 114 b. Should the Board Allow ASPPB to Determine Eligibility for Taking 115 116 the National Examination for California Applicants? Should There Be 117 **Different Eligibility Criteria?** 118 119 Dr Casuga introduced this agenda item. She advised that based on the information 120 provided by ASPPB, ASPPB plans to approve candidates to take the first part of the 121 EPPP prior to the conferring of their degree, as long as they have completed their 122 course requirements, and are attending an APA approved graduate program. Dr. 123 Casuga asked Ms. Snyder to provide the Board's current process regarding providing 124 ASPPB with the list of eligible applicants. 125 126 Ms. Snyder provided the Task Force with the Board's current process of review and

Ms. Snyder provided the Task Force with the Board's current process of review and
approval for eligibility to take the EPPP, including the requirements that the applicant be
awarded their degree and have accrued 1500 hours of supervised professional
experience.

130

After this overview, discussion ensued regarding whether or not the Task Force should recommend the Board accept EPPP scores if Part 1 is taken prior to the confirmation of

133 the degree and accrual of 1500 hours of supervised professional experience, or whether

the Board would make the applicant take Part 1 of the EPPP again after approval by the

135 Board.

136	
137	After a discussion, the Task Force concluded that it did not approve of ASPPB
138	approving applicants to take Part 1 of the EPPP prior to candidates meeting the Board's
139	specified approval requirements. They also concluded that if ASPPB was going to
140	provide early approvals for students of APA accredited programs, that they believed it
141	would be necessary that the Board approve all applicants for licensure to take part 1 of
142	the exam after completing their coursework but prior to degree conferment and accrual
143	of all 1500 hours.
144	
145	Additional discussion ensued regarding the Task Force's role and its ability to make an
145	
	effective decision regarding the EPPP Part 2 with the information provided. The
147	sentiment of the Task Force was that more information was needed to make an
148	informed decision.
149	
150	Dr. Casuga recommended to the Task Force that they ask staff to draft a letter of
151	concern to ASPPB.
152	
153	The Task Force agreed with Dr. Casuga and discussed what questions should be asked
154	and agreed on the following questions to be sent to ASPPB:
155	
156	What were the factors that led to the decision to create two separate
157	examinations instead of one combined examination that assesses both
158	knowledge and skills?
159	
160	Would ASPPB consider a mechanism to make the cost of the examination more
161	affordable for low-income applicants or for those serving impoverished
162	communities, underserved populations, or performing services in public
163	agencies? For instance, would there be consideration to lower the cost of the
164	EPPP Part 1 to off-set the cost of the whole examination?
165	
166	Would ASPPB reconsider its requirement of American Psychological Association
167	(APA) or Canadian Psychological Association (CPA) accreditation for eligibility to
168	take the EPPP Part 1 for pre-degree graduate students?
169	take the ETTTT att The pre-degree graduate students:
170	Would ASPPB consider delaying the implementation of the EPPP2 to allow
171	jurisdictions more time to develop processes, procedures, legislation and/or
171	
	regulations for implementation?
173	What was the formal presson ACDDD wood to ealist to all the stress means have
174	What was the formal process ASPPB used to solicit feedback from member
175	boards and would the Task Force be able to review the feedback received?
176	The Tool Corporation of the uncerted a reasonable by their part reaction which will allow
177	The Task Force advised they wanted a response by their next meeting which will allow
178	the responses to be included for discussion.
179	
180	Dr. Casuga advised due to time constraints the Task Force will need to table the
181	remaining agenda items for a future meeting.
182	
183	Agenda Item #7: Recommendations for Agenda Items for Future Task Force Meetings.

184

Task Force members requested to see a flow chart to help visualize all of the possible ways that this new examination can be implemented. Additionally, they wanted to see how the Board's regulations might need to be updated.

188189 The Task Force adjourned at 5:10pm

190 191 192

193

& Buyl M. Casup, PsyD 7/3/18 Chaj Date