

**** The California Department of Consumer Affairs, Board of Psychology Newsletter ****

IN THIS ISSUE:

President's Message1
Extending Grace and Making Space2
Are We There Yet? Safely Returning to In-Person Practice4
Explanation of Disciplinary Language and Actions
Disciplinary Actions7
Regulatory Update
Board Members12
Meeting Calendar12

www.psychology.ca.gov



BoardofPsychology



Twitter handle: @BDofPsychology



To verify a license: www.breeze.ca.gov To update address of record or email address: www.breeze.ca.gov

Contact us: **bopmail@dca.ca.gov** Sign up for our email list:

www.psychology.ca.gov



President's Message



Seyron Foo, Board of Psychology

As we exit a long, dark winter, we are eager to see and hear the sounds of a Golden State summer dawn upon us—the warmth bringing with it a renewed sense of hope that we will move forward collectively from this past year, while honoring the lives lost during the pandemic. I encourage you to learn more about efforts to #VaccinateAll58,

schedule a vaccine appointment at <u>MyTurn.ca.gov</u>, and understand how the Board is responding to the pandemic <u>here</u>.

The Board's mission is to protect consumers of psychological services by licensing psychologists, regulating the practice of psychology, and supporting the evolution of the profession. This mission-and our ability to execute it faithfully and well-comes under scrutiny periodically by the Legislature through the sunset review process. The sunset review process provides an opportunity for the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA), the Legislature, the boards, and interested parties and stakeholders to discuss the performance of the boards, and make recommendations for improvements. On March 3, Board President Emeritus Dr. Stephen Phillips, Executive Officer Antonette Sorrick, and I testified before the Assembly Business and Professions Committee and the Senate Business, Professions, and Economic Development Committee. You can view the background paper submitted by the Board to the Legislature here and watch the hearing here (the Board's review starts at the 2:50:20 mark).

The Board also held our second meeting of the year on April 2, when we provided written responses to the Legislature related to our sunset review and also took positions on key legislation. This included supporting Senate Bill 401, authored by Senator Richard Pan. The Board is sponsoring this bill, which if enacted would add "sexual behavior" to section 2960 of the Business and Professions Code. This bill is necessary due to the Board's experiences adjudicating cases involving inappropriate sexual conduct that did not meet the current definition of "sexual contact," which left inadequate enforcement ability to appropriately discipline a person for sexual behavior antithetical to the psychotherapist-client



(continued from page 1)

relationship. You can learn more about this bill and other bills of which the Board expressed support in this quarter's newsletter.

All our materials and recordings of our meetings can be found online <u>here</u>. The Board will continue holding remote meetings through August, including our August 27 board meeting. We will continue to closely monitor and adhere to public health guidance related to our November 18–19 board meeting.

I am grateful for the participation of stakeholders in our Board meetings. While we yearn to gather inperson again, virtual Board meetings have allowed for more people to participate from across the state. The DCA's SOLID Unit has worked diligently to facilitate and operate the technology for our virtual meetings and we are appreciative of their partnership. Thank you to our stakeholders for sharing their comments to inform the development of public policy. Such good public policymaking can only occur due to the outstanding staff at the Board of Psychology. On behalf of the Board, I express my gratitude to our staff for their continued efforts in helping us realize our vision of a healthy California where our diverse communities enjoy the benefits of the highest standard of psychological services.

Extending Grace and Making Space

By Shacunda Rodgers, Ph.D., Board Member, Board of Psychology

We are now past the year mark of the pandemic and, while so much around us has changed, it also feels like we've found ourselves in a continuous cycle of Groundhog Day. Some people call this phenomenon "Blursday," where the minutes, hours, and days run into one another, blurring the line between the end of one day and the beginning of another. The only clear delineation we can find these days is in the demarcation of our respective Zoom boxes. It has been and continues to be a really trying time, and it's not for the faint of heart.

Speaking of hearts, one of my cornerstone practices for getting through these uncertain days is to really focus on the quality of my heartspace, as its terrain invariably predicts how I may show up in any given moment. If it's tense and constricted, I may arrive in the moment as easily frustrated and on the irritable side. Conversely, if my heart space is open and light, I will arrive in the moment at ease and feeling content. It's for this precise reason that I try to stay rooted in mindfulness practice in order to remain grounded, clear, and even.

A year into the pandemic, however, the toll of the stress sometimes makes it harder to find the ground beneath me, despite years of practice. In these moments of difficulty, I've been repeating a mantra of sorts: "Extend grace, create space." It's a gentle way of offering myself compassion rather than following judgments and harsh criticisms down the rabbit hole. In "A Path with Heart," Jack Kornfield says, "When awareness truly investigates our contractions, we open. Underlying each area of contraction, we will find ease and space. This space can be felt quite physically in the body as a progressive opening of sensations ... It can be felt in the heart as an open compassionate acceptance, and in the mind as a clear space of awareness that contains all things." Extending myself grace allows for missteps, mishaps, and mistakes, allowing us all to be fully human, recognizing that we are perfectly imperfect, and that trial-and-error are natural parts of life-and these are all much more pronounced during this time. It also reminds us that we may not be functioning at full capacity 100% of the time because some portion of our cognitive, emotional, and/or physical energy is being directed toward survival.

So, take a moment or two to assess your own experience right now. How is it in your heartspace this very second? Whatever it is you find, can you allow yourself to be in and stay with that experience, no matter what it is? And, if it's challenging to stay with what's present, loving-kindness practices teach that it can be helpful to simply place your hand on



your heart as a way of gently and tenderly caring for yourself: Staying with the heartspace; breathing through what's present; offering your nonjudgmental awareness; steadying the body; settling the nervous system; staying connected with the breath; and returning to the here and now.

Resting in kind awareness, I allow myself to recall a few simple practices to remain rooted in the experience of spaciousness. I remind myself of the healing qualities of silence, stillness, softening, and surrender, and may choose one of these practices to be mindful of as I return to the unfolding moments of the day. A few moments of silence can clear the external noise around us, so that we can access our own internal wisdom (which is always waiting for us).

Stillness is the antithesis to our fast-paced, on-thego, overstimulated society, but is the exact medicine we need to find rest, take sacred pause, return to center, and restore ourselves. Softening is important because of how much tension we store in our bodies and loosening any areas that may be holding onto our stress can be a central step in learning to let go. Softening begins the process of creating space. Lastly, a note on surrender. This pandemic has taught us (or perhaps reminded some of us) that the idea of control is an illusion, and therefore we must practice "radical acceptance" by surrendering to *what is*, not how we'd like it to be. We don't always have to know how each moment will unfold, and the grasping for control—where there may be none—can further perpetuate our own suffering. Below is my wish for you:

May you each have peace and ease in your hearts today and every day.

May you extend yourself grace and create space for your lived experience.

May you meet difficulty and adversity with an open heart, willing to learn the lessons therein.

May you find solace in the practices of silence, stillness, softening, and surrender.

May your heart be held in the warmth of lovingkindness and the light of compassion.

May you be well in mind, heart, and body as you walk the path ahead.





Are We There Yet? Safely Returning to In-Person Practice

By Mary Harb Sheets, Ph.D., Board Member, Board of Psychology

As psychologists emerge from home offices where we have served clients through telehealth and imagine a return to offering in-person services, we will find a changed landscape. Our professional offices may not feel like the sanctuary they once were for both ourselves and our clients. Reflecting on how to safely make this transition and then putting in place appropriate procedures are of utmost importance. While the ideas below are not meant to be comprehensive, let's examine several aspects of again providing in-person services amid this new challenge to our work.

Informed Consent: While ongoing informed consent is always essential in any type of psychological service provision, there are factors to address if a psychologist chooses to return to providing inperson services before pandemic has ended. Several examples of informed consents for return to the office treatment are available online and can be customized. Here are two options:

www.apaservices.org/practice/clinic/covid-19informed-consent and https://parma.trustinsurance. com/Resource-Center/COVID-19-Resources.

As is always the case with informed consent, be sure to discuss the content of your consent form with your clients and thoughtfully address any questions and/or concerns they may have.

Mitigation of Risks: Some questions to address here are:

 Will you require that you and your client wear masks during sessions? Are there situations in which wearing a mask by one or both of you would not be practical, e.g., one of you has limited hearing and reads lips to aid in comprehension of verbal communication?

- 2. Will you be using an air cleaner of some type, e.g., a HEPA filter and/or UV-C? As of this writing, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has indicated that air filtration is more effective than disinfecting procedures.
- 3. Is the air that circulates in your office shared with other building tenants and, if so, has the landlord added any filtration systems for safety?
- 4. What cleaning protocols will you employ between client sessions? For example, will you use a disinfectant spray and/or wipe down areas that have been touched by clients? Sanitizing objects such as pens used by clients and your credit card device would be appropriate steps to include in your protocols.
- 5. What policies will you have regarding travel; i.e., will you require a telehealth session if a client or you have traveled in the two weeks prior to a scheduled session?

Physical Considerations: While each of our office layouts necessarily allow for certain options and/or create limitations, here are some thoughts to ponder:

- Will your waiting room be used or will you only allow the current client in your office, clean after the session, and then open your office to the next client? Spacing sessions apart may be necessary to allow time for your cleaning protocols.
- 2. Will you be able to sit far enough apart from your clients to provide adequate physical distance?
- 3. Can you open windows and, if you can, still preserve confidentiality?

Employees and Office Partners: If you have employees and/or office partners, what guidelines will you establish to keep them safe to minimize risk of exposure to the virus? The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has issued guidance to employers, which can be found at <u>www.osha.gov</u>. You will want to be sure all office partners and employees agree on and follow established safety guidelines.



(continued from page 4)

Health and Vaccination Status: Will you ask clients questions about their health status at the time of the appointment (fever, cough, virus exposure, vaccination status)? An often-considered question is whether psychologists can require clients who wish to be seen in the office to have been vaccinated. You will want to follow the professional discussions to be aware of the most current legal and ethical thoughts regarding these issues.

As you plan for a return to in-person practice, consult current CDC guidelines, any relevant state orders, local and other public health guidance, and professional risk management guidelines. Additionally, check with your professional liability insurance provider regarding any coverage considerations relevant to your specific work and office situation.

As with many aspects of pandemic "advice," guidelines will continue to evolve. Staying abreast of what is recommended by governmental and professional groups will help to ensure your return to in-person practice is safe for you, your clients, and anyone sharing your office.







Explanation of Disciplinary Language and Actions

Gross negligence: An extreme departure from the standard of care.

Incompetence: Lack of knowledge or skills in discharging professional obligations.

Public letter of reproval: Formal discipline that consists of a reprimand of a licensee that is a matter of public record for conduct in violation of the law.

Accusation: A formal, written statement of charges.

Stipulated settlement of decision: The case is formally negotiated and settled prior to hearing.

Surrender: To resolve a disciplinary action, the licensee has given up his or her license, subject to acceptance by the Board of Psychology.

Suspension from practice: The licensee is prohibited from practicing or offering to provide psychological services during the term of suspension.

Revoked: The right to practice has ended due to disciplinary action.

Revocation stayed, probation with terms and conditions: "Stayed" means the revocation is postponed. Professional practice may continue so long as the licensee complies with specific probationary terms and conditions. Violation of any term of probation may result in the revocation that was postponed.

Administrative Citations:

January 1 to March 31, 2021

Marybeth Weinstock

Unlicensed, San Jose

On January 26, 2021, a citation containing an order of abatement and fine in the amount of \$2,500 was issued to Marybeth Weinstock for engaging in the unlicensed practice of psychology by misrepresenting herself as a psychologist and rendering psychological services without a license.

Pat Potter McAndrews

Unlicensed, San Jose On March 18, 2021, a citation

containing an order of abatement and fine in the amount of \$2,500 was issued to Pat Potter McAndrews for engaging in the unlicensed practice of psychology by holding herself out as a psychologist in both writing and her own personal statements, and rendering psychological services without a license.

Disciplinary Actions:

January 1 to March 31, 2021

REVOCATION

Jennifer M. Chrisman, Psy.D. Psychologist License No. PSY 23667, Hermosa Beach

Dr. Chrisman's license was revoked after a default decision was entered following the filing of an Accusation which alleged she failed to provide proof of completion of continuing education attendance certificates to the Board upon request after being selected for a random audit of continuing education credits. The default decision and order took effect February 3, 2021, after Dr. Chrisman failed to appear at the scheduled hearing.

SURRENDER

Tammy H. Ichinotsubo-Ezzi, Ph.D. Psychologist License No. PSY 14761, Rancho Palos Verdes

Dr. Ichinotsubo-Ezzi stipulated to the surrender of her license after the Board filed an Accusation that alleged she committed gross negligence when she relinguished control of the frame of treatment to a patient, failed to maintain ethical boundaries, engaged in multiple relationships with a patient, failed to maintain her patients' confidentiality, failed to avoid harm to a patient during her care and treatment of that patient, and terminated therapy without adequate counseling or referrals. The surrender took effect January 2, 2021.

Judith R. Cohn, Ph.D.

Psychologist License No. PSY 13427, Woodland Hills

Dr. Cohn stipulated to the surrender of her license after the Board filed an Accusation that alleged she violated the regulations duly adopted when she failed to provide proof of completion of continuing education attendance certificates to the Board upon request for an audit. The surrender took effect January 20, 2021. **Debra Joan White, Ph.D.** Psychologist License No. PSY 8422, Santa Rosa

Dr. White stipulated to the surrender of her license after the Board filed an Accusation that alleged she committed gross negligence and practiced outside her field of competence when she: failed to adequately supervise her psychological assistant and ensure proper treatment of a patient; failed to maintain adequate records in that she failed to provide accurate documentation to the Board regarding her supervision of her psychological assistant; and practiced outside her field of competence by not possessing adequate training related to the psychological assistant's caseload. The surrender took effect January 2, 2021.

Jennifer Banta, Ph.D.

Psychologist License No. PSY 20473, San Francisco

Dr. Banta stipulated to the surrender of her license after the Board filed a Petition to Revoke Probation that alleged she failed to comply with the conditions of her probation requiring she abstain from drugs and alcohol and submit to tests and samples. The decision and order took effect March 18, 2021.

PROBATION

Sarwat Waraich, Psy.D.

Psychologist License No. PSY 19573, Covina

The Board filed a Second Amended Petition to Revoke Probation alleging that Dr. Waraich



(continued from page 7)

failed to comply with the terms of a 2019 order requiring that she contact the Board's testing laboratory, submit fluid samples to the testing laboratory, abstain from consuming alcohol, and submit quarterly reports to the Board in a timely manner. Previously, Dr. Wairach was on probation for five years. The Board maintained Dr. Waraich's license on probation for five years effective October 11, 2019, but added an actual suspension from the effective date of the order until March 30, 2021. Dr. Waraich's license is subject to revocation if she fails to comply with the terms and conditions of this probation. The order took effect January 2, 2021.

Denise J. Grundland, Psy.D.

Psychologist License No. PSY 30302, San Diego

Dr. Grundland stipulated to placing her license on probation for four years, and is subject to its revocation if she fails to comply with the terms and conditions of probation, after the Board filed an Accusation that alleged she committed gross negligence when she provided therapeutic services while experiencing severe personal problems that impacted her ability to provide competent patient care, and/or failed to take appropriate steps to address her personal problems affecting competent patient care, failed to maintain patient records, engaged in multiple role/boundary violations with numerous patients, failed to take reasonable steps to avoid potential patient harm, and caused patient harm when

conducting therapy sessions at the time of her psychotic state. The decision and order took effect January 20, 2021.

Matthew Christopher Narron, Ph.D.

Psychologist License No. PSY 21288, Sonora

Dr. Narron stipulated to placing his license on probation for three years, and is subject to its revocation if he fails to comply with the terms and conditions of probation, after the Board filed an Accusation that alleged he committed gross negligence when he engaged in inappropriate conversations and self-disclosure with a patient, which resulted in the blurring of professional boundaries, failed to pursue adequate consultation and maintain boundaries involving a patient's sexual attraction toward him, misrepresented his education and licensure, failed to take accurate and adequate notes regarding his care and treatment of a patient, and repeated inaccurate statements to the investigator when he denied and mischaracterized conversations he had with a patient. The decision and order took effect March 24, 2021.

Marlene W. Valter, Psy.D. Psychologist License No. PSY 17095, Somis

Dr. Valter stipulated to placing her license on probation for five years, and is subject to its revocation if she fails to comply with the terms and conditions of probation, after the Board filed an Accusation that alleged she committed

gross negligence by failing to take appropriate measures to protect her clients, including, by ensuring that her child custody evaluation report would be completed competently on time, or by informing the parties that she was required to withdraw from the engagement and allow a substitute child custody evaluator to be appointed in her place due to mental health problems that impaired her ability to perform her duties as a psychologist competently. The decision and order took effect March 26, 2021.

PUBLIC LETTER OF REPROVAL

Linda K. O'Dell, Ph.D. Psychologist License No. PSY 25831, Beverly Hills

Dr. O'Dell stipulated to the issuance of a public letter of reproval against her license, with terms, after the Board filed an Accusation that alleged she engaged in repeated negligent acts and omissions when she: failed to inform a patient, prior to rendering services, that her psychological assistant was unlicensed and working under her direction and supervision, and that she would have access to the patient's records; failed to provide in a timely manner the patient with the insurance forms when requested; failed to ensure that her psychological assistant identified herself as a psychological assistant; and failed to comply with the financial arrangements made between the psychological assistant and the patient. The order took effect January 2, 2021.

(continued from page 8)

Cristina Moldovan, Ph.D. Psychologist License No. PSY 32337, Long Beach

Dr. Moldovan stipulated to the issuance of a public letter of reproval against her license, with terms, after the Board filed a Statement of Issues that alleged she made false statements of material fact on her application for licensure as a psychologist with regard to ever having been convicted of or pled guilty or no contest to any criminal offense, including convictions that were later dismissed, and she used alcohol in a manner dangerous to herself and/or others. The order took effect January 6, 2021.

Kathleen Anne Magnani, Ph.D. Psychologist License No. PSY 10664, Monrovia Dr. Magnani stipulated to the issuance of a public letter of reproval against her license, with terms, after the Board filed an Accusation that alleged she failed to provide proof of completion of continuing education attendance certificates to the Board upon request for an audit. The order took effect January 8, 2021.

Regulatory Update

Below are the Board's pending regulatory changes, and their status in the formal rulemaking process.

Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) Sections 1391.1, 1391.2, 1391.5, 1391.6, 1391.8, 1391.10, 1391.11, 1391.12, 1392.1—Psychological Assistants

Status: Initial review phase.

This phase includes reviews by the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) and the Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency (BCSH) before formal Notice of Public Hearing with the Office of Administrative Law (OAL).

This regulatory package does the following:

Conforms the California Code of Regulations to statutory changes made in SB 1193 (Hill, Chapter 484, Statutes of 2016), which requires psychological assistants to obtain a single registration with the Board to be renewed annually. This registration will be independent from their supervisor(s) or employer(s) but does not remove the requirement that psychological assistants practice only under supervision. Additionally, the proposed regulatory language is to avoid duplication as to who pays the psychological assistant registration fee, as this is already specified in statute.

Title 16, CCR Section 1396.8—Standards of Practice for Telehealth

Status: Preparation of final documentation.

This package was delivered to OAL on 8/4/2020 and was in the Notice Register published on 8/14/2020. The language was subsequently modified and put out for an additional 15-day comment period. The Board reviewed those comments at its February meeting and approved the language.

This regulatory package does the following:

Establishes standards of practice for telehealth by licensed California psychologists and psychology trainees to an originating site in this state to a patient or client who is a resident of California who is temporarily located outside of this state, and to clients or patients who initiate psychological health care services while in this state, but who may not be a resident of this state to improve access to psychological care for underserved populations and to support clients or patients between regularly scheduled office visits or while they are temporarily located outside of California.

Title 16, CCR Sections 1381.9, 1397.60, 1397.61, 1397.62, 1397.67—Continuing Professional Development

Status: Preparation of final documentation.

This package was delivered to OAL on 9/22/2020 and was in the Notice Register published on





(continued from page 9)

10/2/2020. The language was subsequently modified and put out for an additional 15-day comment period. The Board reviewed those comments at its February meeting and approved the language.

This regulatory package does the following: Changes the continuing education CE guidelines and requirements that must be completed by licensed psychologists from the CE model to the broader continuing professional development CPD model.

Title 16, CCR Sections 1381.9, 1381.10, 1392—Retired License, Renewal of Expired License, Psychologist Fees

Status: Initial review phase.

This phase includes reviews by DCA, and BCSA before formal Notice of Public Hearing with OAL.

This regulatory package does the following: Adopts section 1381.10 in Division 13.1 in the Board's regulations and be titled "Retired Status." This proposal would allow a licensee to apply to have their license placed in a retired status.

Addition to Title 16, CCR Section 1392—Psychologist Fees—California Psychology Law and Ethics Exam (CPLEE) and Initial License and Biennial Renewal Fee for Psychologist

Status: Drafting Phase. This phase includes preparation of the regulatory package and collaborative reviews by Board staff and legal counsel.

This regulatory package does the following: Raises the fees for the CPLEE to cover the Board's costs to create and administer the exam.

Title 16, CCR Section 1395.2—Disciplinary Guidelines and Uniform Standards Related to Substance-Abusing Licensees

Status: Drafting phase. This phase includes preparation of the regulatory package and collaborative reviews by Board staff and legal counsel.

This regulatory package does the following: It makes substantive changes to the Board's disciplinary guidelines including conforming changes pursuant to AB 2138, conviction and substantial relationship criteria, and uniform standards for substance abusing licensees.

LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

For up to date bill status information, visit our website at <u>www.psychology.ca.gov/laws_regs/</u>legislation.shtml.

SPONSORED LEGISLATION

SB 401 (Pan)—Psychology: Unprofessional Conduct: Disciplinary Action: Sexual Acts

This bill would add sexual behavior (inappropriate actions and communications of a sexual nature for the purpose of sexual arousal, gratification, exploitation, or abuse) with a client or former client within two years following termination of therapy to the list of what is considered unprofessional conduct that would give the administrative law judge the statutory authority in a proposed decision to include an order of revocation.

The bill would also add clear definitions to the following sexual acts: sexual abuse, sexual behavior, sexual contact, and sexual misconduct. Note: this would not change or diminish the Board's adjudicatory discretion as to the final discipline.

AB 32 (Aquiar-Curry)—Telehealth

This bill would require the State Department of Health Care Services to indefinitely continue the telehealth flexibilities in place during the COVID-19 pandemic state of emergency. The telehealth flexibilities implemented during the COVID-19 pandemic have increased access to care and we applaud these efforts.

Board Position: Support

To view the text of the bill, click here: <u>AB-32</u> <u>Telehealth</u>.



(continued from page 10)

AB 107 (Salas)—Licensure: Veterans and Military Spouses

This bill would expand the requirement in Business and Professions Code (BPC) section 115.6 relating to the issuance of temporary licenses for individuals married to, or in a domestic partnership or other legal union with, an active-duty member of the armed forces of the United States who is assigned to a duty station in this state under official active-duty military orders, to include among others, the Board.

The bill would exempt from these provisions a board that has a process in place by which an out-of-state licensed applicant in good standing who is married to, or in a domestic partnership or other legal union with, an active-duty member of the armed forces of the United States is able to receive expedited, temporary authorization to practice while meeting state-specific requirements for a period of at least one year.

Board Position: Support if Amended

Amendments requested: Under BPC 2946, the Board allows a psychologist certified or licensed in another state or province and who has submitted an application to the board for a license in this state to perform activities and services of a psychological nature without a valid license for a period not to exceed 180 calendar days from the time of submitting his or her application or from the commencement of residency in this state, whichever occurs first. Staff has spoken with the author's office and the bill's sponsor, who advised they are willing to assist the Board in making the necessary modifications to BPC 2946 to include the Board under the exemptions above.

To view the text of the bill, click here: <u>Licensure:</u> <u>veterans and military spouses</u>

To view the letter of Support if Amended, click here: <u>Bills with Active Positions</u>

SB 731 (Durazo)—Criminal Records: Relief

This bill would, among other things, amend section 11105 of the Penal Code, which would prohibit the Board from receiving conviction information for applicants to the Board if the conviction was granted relief pursuant to sections 1203.4, 1203.4(a), 1203.41, 1203.42, or 1203.49 of the Penal Code, so long as a period of two years has elapsed since the date the relief was granted and the applicant has not been convicted of a new criminal offense.

Board Position: Oppose

To view the text of the bill, click here: <u>Criminal</u> <u>Records: Relief</u>

SB 772 (Ochoa-Bogh)—Professions and Vocations: Citations: Minor Violations

This bill would prohibit the assessment of an administrative fine for a minor violation and would specify that a violation shall be considered minor if it meets specified conditions, including that the violation did not pose a serious health or safety threat and there is no evidence that the violation was willful.

Board Position: Oppose Unless Amended

Amendments requested: The Board is requesting the removal of the Board from the provisions of the bill as this would prohibit the assessment of an administrative fine for a minor violation. While violations may be deemed minor, violations speak to the character of the licensee and thus all violations should be addressed as part of a larger context.

To view the text of the bill, click here:

Professions and Vocations: Citations: Minor Violations

To view the Oppose Unless Amended letter, click here: <u>Bills with Active Positions</u>



Board Members

Seyron Foo (President) Lea Tate, Psy.D. (Vice President) Sheryll Casuga, Psy.D. Marisela Cervantes Julie Nystrom Stephen Phillips, J.D., Psy.D. Ana Rescate Shacunda Rodgers, Ph.D. Mary Harb Sheets, Ph.D.

2021 Meeting Calendar

BOARD

May 21 August 27 November 18–19 Webex Webex Sacramento

LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY **AFFAIRS COMMITTEE** June 11

Webex

LICENSURE COMMITTEE July 16 Webex

OUTREACH AND COMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE September 23 Webex

California Board of **PSYCHOLOGY**

1625 North Market Blvd., Suite N-215 Sacramento, CA 95834 Email: bopmail@dca.ca.gov Website: www.psychology.ca.gov Phone: (916) 574-7720 Toll-Free: (866) 503-3221 Fax: (916) 574-8672

21-093