

1625 North Market Blvd., Suite N-215, Sacramento, CA 95834 T (916) 574-7720 F (916) 574-8672 Toll-Free (866) 503-3221 www.psychology.ca.gov

# MEMORANDUM

DATE	April 9, 2017
то	Board of Psychology
FROM	Jason Glasspiegel Central Services Coordinator
SUBJECT	Agenda Item #4(b)(1)(A)(5) – SB 572 (Stone) Healing arts licensees: violations: grace period

#### **Background:**

This bill would prohibit healing arts boards under the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) from issuing a disciplinary action or otherwise penalizing a licensee who commits a violation that does not cause irreparable harm to a consumer and is remedied within 15 days.

This bill raises multiple concerns for board staff regarding the Board's ability to hold its licensees to the current statutory and regulatory licensing and ethical standards.

Location: Senate Committee on Business Professions and Economic Development

Status: 03/29/2017 Hearing set for April 17, 2017

#### Action Requested:

Staff requests the Board take an Oppose position on SB 572 (Stone). This bill purposefully undermines the Board of Psychology's (Board's) authority to discipline its licensees who violate the Psychology Licensing Law, thereby impeding the Board's mandate of consumer protection and enforcement of ethical standards of practice within the field of psychology.

Attachment A: Analysis of SB 572 (Stone) Attachment B: SB 572 (Stone) Text



## 2017 Bill Analysis

Author:	Bill Number:	Related Bills:
Stone	SB 572	
Sponsor:	Version:	
Author Sponsored	March 27, 2017	
Subject:		
Healing arts licensees: violations: grace period		

#### SUMMARY

This bill would prohibit healing arts boards under the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) from issuing a disciplinary action or otherwise penalizing a licensee who commits a violation that does not cause irreparable harm to a consumer and is remedied within 15 days.

#### RECOMMENDATION

**OPPOSE.** Staff recommends an Oppose position on SB 572. This bill purposefully undermines the Board of Psychology's (Board's) authority to discipline its licensees who violate the Psychology Licensing Law, thereby impeding the Board's mandate of consumer protection and enforcement of ethical standards of practice within the field of psychology. This bill is not only ill defined and ambiguous, but could have far reaching negative impacts on the consumers of psychological services in California who rely on the Board to ensure that their licensed providers are competent, ethical, and provide the highest quality of care.

#### **REASON FOR THE BILL**

According to the author, there is no grace period for licensees that are in violation of minor provisions. The lack of a grace period gives incentive for the governing board's to seek out minor violations that are of no immediate danger to anyone which can be an unnecessary burden. The bill seeks to give licensees a chance to correct minor violations without a financial punishment.

#### ANALYSIS

Current law provides the Board with statutory and regulatory authority to protect the public through the application and enforcement of standards for the ethical and legal

epartments t	hat may be affected:			
nge in Fee(s	) Affects Licer	nsing Processes	🛛 Af	fects Enforcement Processes
/ Clause	Regulations Required	Legislative	Reporting	New Appointment Required
cacy Commi	ittee Position:	Full Board Pos	sition:	
Support	if Amended	Support	Suppor	rt if Amended
Oppose	Unless Amended	Oppose		e Unless Amended
Watch		Neutral	U Watch	
		Date:		
<u></u>		Vote:		
	nge in Fee(s Clause cacy Commi Support	Clause Clause Regulations Required cacy Committee Position: Support if Amended Oppose Unless Amended	nge in Fee(s)  Affects Licensing Processes    Clause  Regulations Required  Legislative    cacy Committee Position:  Full Board Position:    Support if Amended  Support    Oppose Unless Amended  Oppose    Watch  Neutral    Date:	nge in Fee(s)  Affects Licensing Processes  Affects Licensing Processes    Clause  Regulations Required  Legislative Reporting    cacy Committee Position:  Full Board Position:    Support if Amended  Support  Support    Oppose Unless Amended  Oppose  Oppose    Watch  Neutral  Watch

practice of psychology in California. SB 572 would add language to the general provisions of the Business and Professions Code which would prohibit the Board from issuing a disciplinary action or otherwise penalizing a licensee who commits a violation if all of the following apply:

(a) The violation did not cause any irreparable harm and will not result in irreparable harm if left uncorrected for 15 days.

(b) The licensee corrects the violation within 15 days.

(c) The licensee is not currently on probation at the time of the violation.

This bill raises multiple concerns for Board staff regarding its ability to hold its licensees to the current statutory and regulatory licensing and ethical standards.

#### **Definition of Irreparable Harm**

Irreparable harm is not defined within the language of SB 572. Without this definition staff is concerned that each case will need to be reviewed by a contracted subject matter expert to determine if irreparable harm has occurred. If this additional review is required, this will add additional time and cost to the review of all enforcement cases.

#### Definition of 15 days

The language does not specify when the 15 day period is to begin and how it is to be calculated. Will the Board be required to send certified letters to every licensee who commits one of these applicable offenses and confirm receipt of the letter before the 15 day period can begin? Once the 15 day period begins, will that 15 calendar days or 15 business days, and how will holidays treated?

#### Applicability to Continuing Education Citations

Licensees are given two years to complete their required 36 hours of continuing education. If the bill passed, each licensee may be entitled to receive an additional 15 days to make up any deficient hours found during a continuing education audit. Staff believes that this undermines the purpose of having continuing education requirements and diminishes the Board's ability to effectively audit its licensees.

#### Citation versus Formal Discipline

The Board, at its discretion, can issue a citation and fine in lieu of formal discipline. This would be difficult to accomplish if each case required a subject matter experts determination regarding irreparable harm. The cost involved for this determination would need to be recovered should the licensee be determined to have caused irreparable harm, and therefore the Board would pursue formal discipline. Likewise, for those cases determined to not have caused irreparable harm, the Board would have to

incur the costs of the subject matter experts review fees without any option for cost recovery.

#### Multiple Offenders

SB 572 states that the provisions of the bill do not apply to a licensee that is on probation. While that may help curb abuse by some bad actors, there is no provision regarding licensees that are repeat offenders of the same or similar provisions. Staff is concerned that this bill would incentivize licensees to disregard certain provisions of the Psychology Licensing Law, as there would be no repercussions for those "minor" violations such as completing continuing education, retaining patient records, or practicing with an expired license.

#### The Board's Ability to Meet its Key Performance Indicators

Currently, the Board is given 180 days to investigate a complaint. Board staff has serious concerns regarding their ability to meet the required timeframes if each violation is required to be reviewed by an expert to determine if irreparable harm was caused.

Should SB 572 be signed into law as written, the Board would lose its ability to effectively regulate the practice of psychology in California, thereby neglecting its mandate of consumer protection, and exposing consumers of psychological services to undue harm.

#### LEGISLATIVE HISTORY

None

#### **OTHER STATES' INFORMATION**

None

#### **PROGRAM BACKGROUND**

The Board advances quality psychological services for Californians by ensuring ethical and legal practice and supporting the evolution of the practice. To accomplish this, the Board regulates licensed psychologists, psychological assistants, and registered psychologists, including enforcing standards of ethical conduct.

#### **FISCAL IMPACT**

This bill has an undeterminable fiscal impact. The cost to the Board could be significant if the Board is required to seek expert opinion to assist with the determination of irreparable harm for each individual case.

ECONOMIC IMPACT Not Applicable

**LEGAL IMPACT** Not Applicable

### **APPOINTMENTS**

Not Applicable

## SUPPORT/OPPOSITION

Support: None on file.

**Opposition:** None on file.

### ARGUMENTS

Proponents: None

**Opponents:** None

Car	
Bill Information	California Law Publications Other Resources My Subscriptions My Favorites
	SB-572 Healing arts licensees: violations: grace period. (2017-2018)
799-90 AUST 107-0-0-0-0-	
	cle 16 (commencing with Section 870) is added to Chapter 1 of Division 2 of the Business and
SECTION 1. Article Professions Code,	The state of the
	to read:
Professions Code, Article 16. Grace P	to read:
Professions Code, Article 16. Grace Pa 870. Notwithstandi	to read: Period for Violations
Professions Code, Article 16. Grace Pr 870. Notwithstandi disciplinary action	to read: <b>Period for Violations</b> ling any other law, a person with a license issued pursuant to this division shall not be subject to
Professions Code, Article 16. Grace Pa 870. Notwithstandi disciplinary action applicable to the li	to read: <b>Period for Violations</b> ling any other law, a person with a license issued pursuant to this division shall not be subject to a by, or otherwise penalized by, the board that issued the license for a violation of a provision license if all of the following apply:
Professions Code, Article 16. Grace P 870. Notwithstandi disciplinary action applicable to the li (a) The violation of	to read: Period for Violations ling any other law, a person with a license issued pursuant to this division shall not be subject to a by, or otherwise penalized by, the board that issued the license for a violation of a provision
Professions Code, Article 16. Grace Pr 870. Notwithstandi disciplinary action applicable to the li	to read: <b>Period for Violations</b> ling any other law, a person with a license issued pursuant to this division shall not be subject to a by, or otherwise penalized by, the board that issued the license for a violation of a provision license if all of the following apply: