

1	Licensing Committee Meeting Minutes
2 3 4 5 6	Department of Consumer Affairs 1625 N. Market Blvd., El Dorado Room Sacramento, CA 95834 (916) 574-7720
7 8	Friday, January 11, 2019
9 10	Agenda Item #1: Call to Order/Roll Call/Establishment of Quorum
11	
12 13	Jacqueline Horn, PhD, Committee Chairperson, called the meeting to order at 9:12 a.m.
14 15	A quorum was present and due notice had been sent to all interested parties.
16	Members Present
17	Jacqueline Horn, PhD, Chairperson
18	Seyron Foo, Public Member
19	Mary Harb Sheets, PhD
20	Stephen Phillips, JD, PsyD
21	
22	Others Present
23	Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer
24	Anthony Pane, DCA Assistant Chief Counsel (afternoon session)
25	Michael Santiago, DCA Legal Counsel (morning session)
26	Stephanie Cheung, Licensing Manager
27	Cherise Burns, Central Services Manager
28	Mai Xiong, Breeze/Licensing Coordinator
29	Jason Glasspiegel, Central Services Coordinator
30 31	Liezel McCockran, Continuing Education/Renewals Coordinator Mary Lynn Ferreira, Licensing Analyst
32	Mary Lynn i enena, Licensing Analyst
33	Dr. Horn introduced Dr. Mary Harb Sheets, newly appointed Board Member who is replacing
34	Dr. Phillips on the Licensing Committee.
35	
36	Agenda Item #2: Public Comment(s) for Items not on the Agenda
37	
38	Kristin Kaminski, a supervisee, questioned the policy of the Board regarding the
39	discrepancy between supervisor signing off on supervised professional experience
40	hours on the weekly log but stating that the hours were not satisfactory on the
41	Verification of Experience form. She also asked if the Board would consider any
42	grievance process when the number of supervised professional experience hours on
43	the weekly log does not correspond with the hours on the Verification of Experience
44	form.
45	
46	The Committee will put this on a future meeting agenda for discussion.

Agenda Item #3: Approval of the Licensing Committee Meeting Minutes: October ZS. 2018 Dr. Horn asked if there were any additions or corrections to the April 24, 2018, minutes, in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. It Was M(Foo)/S(Phillips)/C to approve the minutes as corrected. There was no public comment. Vote: 4-0 (Aye: Foo, Horn, Phillips, Harb Sheets) Agenda Item #4: Foreign Degree Evaluation Process Presentation for Discussion: National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and Professional Code Section 2914 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluation services and requirements. The Committee work like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee descussed general versus comprehensive consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee datuation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine	47	
25, 2018 Dr. Horn asked if there were any additions or corrections to the April 24, 2018, minutes, in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. It was M(Foo)/S(Phillips)/C to approve the minutes as corrected. There was no public comment. Vote: 4-0 (Aye: Foo, Horn, Phillips, Harb Sheets) Agenda Item #4: Foreign Degree Evaluation Process Presentation for Discussion: National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and Professional Code Section 2914 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation arevice. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations services and requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation requirements from the NACE	48	
25. 2018 Dr. Horn asked if there were any additions or corrections to the April 24, 2018, minutes, in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. It was M(Foo)/S(Phillips)/C to approve the minutes as corrected. There was no public comment. Vote: 4-0 (Aye: Foo, Horn, Phillips, Harb Sheets) Agenda Item #4: Foreign Degree Evaluation Process Presentation for Discussion: National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and Professional Code Section 2914 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation arevice. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations services and requirements, The Committee world like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements, The Committee world like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation requirements from the NACE	49	Agenda Item #3: Approval of the Licensing Committee Meeting Minutes: October
Dr. Horn asked if there were any additions or corrections to the April 24, 2018, minutes, in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. in the national Association of Credential Evaluation for Discussion: National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and Professional Code Section 2314 Board staff invited the National Association services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee wouldation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluation arguments. The Committee valuations requirements from the NACES member evaluations and other desirable evaluation requireme	50	25, 2018
 in addition to the non-substantive ones she previously provided to staff. it was M(Foo)/S(Phillips)/C to approve the minutes as corrected. There was no public comment. Vote: 4-0 (Aye: Foo, Horn, Phillips, Harb Sheets) Agenda Item #4: Foreign Degree Evaluation Process Presentation for Discussion: National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and Professional Code Section 2914 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on nucrent evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee distubing agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations	51	
 It was M(Foo)/S(Phillips)/C to approve the minutes as corrected. There was no public comment. Vote: 4-0 (Aye: Foo, Horn, Phillips, Harb Sheets) Agenda Item #4: Foreign Degree Evaluation Process Presentation for Discussion: National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and Professional Code Section 2914 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluator service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee on terms it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to	52	
 It was M(Foo)/S(Phillips)/C to approve the minutes as corrected. There was no public comment. Vote: 4-0 (Aye: Foo, Horn, Phillips, Harb Sheets) Agenda Item #4: Foreign Degree Evaluation Process Presentation for Discussion: National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and Professional Code Section 2914 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation requirements to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: I		
 There was no public comment. Vote: 4-0 (Aye: Foo, Horn, Phillips, Harb Sheets) Agenda Item #4: Foreign Degree Evaluation Process Presentation for Discussion: National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and Professional Code Section 2914 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee so directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluation agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations	55	It was M(Foo)/S(Phillips)/C to approve the minutes as corrected.
 Vote: 4-0 (Aye: Foo, Horn, Phillips, Harb Sheets) Agenda Item #4: Foreign Degree Evaluation Process Presentation for Discussion: National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and Professional Code Section 2914 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee salso directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		There was no public comment
 Vote: 4-0 (Aye: Foo, Horn, Phillips, Harb Sheets) Agenda Item #4: Foreign Degree Evaluation Process Presentation for Discussion: Mational Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and Professional Code Section 2914 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendati		mere was no public comment.
Agenda Item #4: Foreign Degree Evaluation Process Presentation for Discussion: National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and Professional Code Section 2914 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should		Veter 4.0 (Aver Err, Hame Dhilling, Hawk Objects)
Agenda Item #4: Foreign Degree Evaluation Process Presentation for Discussion: National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and Professional Code Section 2914 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Pollowing the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants <		Vole: 4-0 (Aye: Foo, Horn, Phillips, Hard Sheets)
Agenda Item #4: Foreign Degree Evaluation Process Presentation for Discussion: National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and Professional Code Section 2914 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation requirements to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants <		
 National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and Professional Code Section 2914 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		
64 Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and Professional Code Section 2914 65 Professional Code Section 2914 66 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. 70 Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. 75 Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. 79 Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. 91 There wa		
 Professional Code Section 2914 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 	63	
66 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) 67 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) 68 and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their 69 foreign degree evaluation processes. 71 Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering 72 NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered 73 committee members' questions. 74 Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program 74 evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree 75 Morgan T. Sammons, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive 76 evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. 78 Sammons answered Committee members' questions. 79 Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive 70 course-by-course evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum 71 with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee 72 staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for 73	64	<u>Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) relating to Business and</u>
 Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES) and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluation and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 	65	Professional Code Section 2914
 and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 	66	
 foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 	67	Board staff invited the National Association of Credential Evaluation Services (NACES)
 foreign degree evaluation processes. Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 	68	and the National Register of Health Service Psychologists (NRHSP) to present their
 Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 	69	
 Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		
 NACES members and member evaluation services. Mr. Bretschneider answered committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluation and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		Matthias Bretschneider, Membership Chair of NACES, gave a presentation covering
 committee members' questions. Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		
 Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		
 Morgan T. Sammons, PhD, Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. 		
 evaluation service. Dr. Sammons explained that NRHSP is a syllabus-based degree evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		Morgan T. Sammons, PhD. Executive Officer of NRHSP, presented its doctoral program
 evaluator and performs evaluations specific to education and training in psychology. Dr. Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		
 Sammons answered Committee members' questions. Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		
 Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		
 Following the presentations, the Committee discussed general versus comprehensive course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		Sammons answered Committee members questions.
 course-by-course evaluations and other desirable evaluation requirements. The Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		
 Committee would like to obtain information on current evaluation requirements from the NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		
 NACES member evaluation services and requested that staff prepare a memorandum with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		•
 with more details regarding the current transcript evaluation process. The Committee also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		•
 also directed staff to work with Legal Counsel on necessary amendments to statutes for consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 	83	
 consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		
 included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 	85	
 conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 	86	consideration at the next meeting. Ms. Sorrick asked the Committee for items it wants
 equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency. There was no public comment. Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 	87	included in the proposed language. The Committee identified an evaluation should
 90 91 There was no public comment. 93 93 Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and 94 Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 	88	conduct primary source verification on all credentials, determine U.S. and regional
 90 91 There was no public comment. 93 93 Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and 94 Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 	89	equivalency, and should be submitted directly to the Board by the evaluating agency.
 91 There was no public comment. 92 93 Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and 94 Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 	90	
 92 93 Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and 94 Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		There was no public comment.
 Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video 		
94 Recommendations for Content to be Included in the Video		Agenda Item #5: Informational Video for Supervisors: Discussion and
	95	

96 At the October 25, 2018 Committee meeting, the Committee raised concerns that some 97 current and potential supervisors are unclear regarding their roles and responsibilities in 98 providing supervision to trainees and agreed that an informational video would be a 99 helpful resource. 100 101 Dr. Horn informed the Board that Carol Falender, PhD, an international expert on 102 supervision and good practices for supervision, is willing to provide input on this subject. 103 104 Dr. Harb Sheets also advised that the San Diego campus of Alliant International 105 University recently completed a study on supervisors and supervisees which could 106 provide valuable information. She will obtain documents of results and forward to Dr. 107 Horn. 108 109 The Committee discussed what areas should be included in the video, including current 110 statutory and regulatory supervision requirements, special circumstances, best 111 practices of supervision, what supervisees wish supervisors would have done, and the 112 economics of the supervisor/supervisee relationship. 113 114 Elizabeth Winkelman, PhD, California Psychological Association (CPA), suggested that 115 the video be both for supervisors and supervisees. She also suggested that Frequently 116 Asked Questions (FAQs) on supervision be developed for the video. Dr. Winkelman 117 offered to assist with this project. 118 119 The Committee tasked staff to work with Legal Counsel on content for the video on legal 120 requirements and make a report at the June Committee meeting for review and 121 discussion. The Committee also intends to reach out to stakeholders for input on the 122 content areas for FAQs and Best Practices. 123 124 The September Committee meeting will be two days, September 12 and 13, 2019. The 125 first day will be a stakeholder meeting to receive input on the FAQs and Best Practices 126 for supervision. This will allow the Committee to incorporate any stakeholder input 127 received on the second day of the meeting when regular Committee business will be 128 discussed. 129 130 Agenda Item #6: Temporary Practice of Psychology in California for Licensed 131 Psychologists who are Licensed in Other States in the U.S. or in Canada: Discuss 132 **Business and Professions Code Section 2912** 133 134 At the October 25, 2018 Committee meeting, Dr. Winkelman asked if the Committee 135 would consider amending Business and Professions Code section 2912 to clarify 136 whether the 30-day limitation for the temporary practice of psychology is consecutive or 137 cumulative. Temporary practice allows psychologists who are licensed in other states or 138 territories in the U.S. or Canada to practice in California. 139 140 The Committee reviewed draft language provided by Dr. Winkelman. After discussion, 141 the Committee members agreed that the language should specify that the 30-days do 142 not need to be consecutive, and that any part of a day is considered a full day for 143 purposes of this section. The Committee's changes were implemented as follows: 144 145 § 2912. Temporary practice by licensees of other state or foreign country.

146	
147	Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to restrict or prevent a person who is licensed
148	as a psychologist at the doctoral level in another state or territory of the United States or
149	in Canada from offering psychological services in this Sstate for a period not to
150	exceedno more than 30 days in any calendar year. These days do not need to be
151	consecutive, and practice for any part of a day is considered a full day for the purposes
152	of this section.
153	
154	(Amended by Stats. 2005, Ch. 658, Sec. 4. Effective January 1, 2006.)
155	
156	It was M(Foo)/S(Phillips)/C to recommend to the Board that it adopt the language to
157	amend section 2912 and seek legislation.
158	
159	There was no further public comment.
160	
161	Vote: 4-0 (Aye: Foo, Harb Sheets, Horn, Phillips)
162	
163	Agenda Item #7: Licensing Report
164	
165	Ms. Cheung reviewed the population of licensees and registrants and the application
166	workload reports.
167	
168	The Committee accepted the Licensing Report.
169	
170	There was no public comment.
171	
172	Agenda Item #8: Continuing Education and Renewals Report
173	<u> </u>
174	Ms. Burns provided continuing education audit and renewal statistics. Ms. Burns and
175	Ms. McCockran reviewed the information with the Committee and answered questions.
176	······································
177	Dr. Harb Sheets will write a brief article for an upcoming Journal regarding the benefits
178	of renewing licenses online. Ms. Burns pointed out that on-line renewals are processed
179	quickly whereas paper renewals can take weeks to process. She also cautioned that
180	proof of continuing education must be retained by the licensees for four years.
181	
182	Ms. Sorrick pointed out that probationers are also being audited.
183	Ms. Comer pointed out that probationers are also being addited.
184	Ms. Burns provided a detailed review of the entire continuing education audit process
185	including problems found with courses submitted to fulfill the requirements. The
186	members discussed the present process and possible amendments to the process with
187	input from Dr. Winkelman. Ms. Burns and Ms. McCockran provided information and
188	answered members' questions.
189	answered members questions.
109	Staff will implement process changes to: (1) provide additional data on the "fails" so
191 102	"true fails" vs. "exemption" or "exceptions fails" can be identified, (2) add to the contact
192	letter a question asking if the licensee is a supervisor and whether the licensee is
193 104	compliant with the six-hour supervision course, (3) monitor for ethics continuing
194 105	education courses and (4) Ms. Sorrick, Ms. Burns and Ms. McCockran will meet with
195	Sandra Monterrubio, Enforcement Program Manager, regarding enforcement

- 196 implications if licensees are completely failing the process. They will work with experts
- 197 to develop a possible process to address the problem.
- 198
- 199 Staff clarified that continuing education citations and fines are not confidential and,
- 200 therefore, are public information. Dr. Winkelman pointed out that it states on the Board's 201 website that all citations and fines are confidential. It is correct that all citations and fines 202 are confidential with the exception of continuing education citations and fines. This
- 203 exception will be included on the website.
- 204
- 205 Dr. Harb Sheets suggested that the Board do more outreach such as distributing
- 206 information to psychological associations to include in their newsletters. The Committee 207 could approach the Board's Outreach and Education Committee to solicit suggestions 208 for educating licensees on continuing education.
- 209
- 210 There was no additional public comment.

211 212 Agenda Item #9: Recommendations for Agenda Items for Future Licensing 213 **Committee Meetings**

214 215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

- 1. Safeguards if a renewal is not able to be processed
- 2. Proposed amendments to section 2914 regarding foreign degree evaluations and allowing additional foreign degree evaluators
- 3. Supervision Videos Regulations (6/13/19 meeting), Frequently Asked Questions and Best Practices (9/12-13/19 meeting)
- 4. Possible grievance process when the number of hours on the weekly log does not correspond with the hours reported by a supervisor on the Verification of Experience form
- 223 224
- 225

CLOSED SESSION

- 226 The Committee met in closed session pursuant to Government Code Section
- 227 11126(c)(2) to discuss and consider time-limit extensions at 3:16 p.m.
- 228 229 **RETURN TO OPEN SESSION**
- 230
- 231 The Committee returned to open session at 4:49 p.m.
- 232
- 233

ADJOURNMENT

- The Committee adjourned at 4:51 p.m.

June 18, 2019

Date