



1	MINUTES OF BOARD MEETING
2	August 15-16, 2024
3	
4	Wright Institute
5	2728 Durant Avenue, Room 109/110
6	Berkeley, CA 94704
7	
8	Board Members
9	Lea Tate, PsyD, President
10	Shacunda Rodgers, PhD, Vice President
11	Sheryll Casuga, PsyD, CMPC
12	Marisela Cervantes, EdD, MPA (Day 2)
13	Seyron Foo
14	Mary Harb Sheets, PhD
15	Julie Nystrom
16	
17	Board Members Absent
18	Marisela Cervantes, EdD, MPA (Day 1)
19	Stephen Phillips, JD, PsyD
20	Ana Rescate
21	
22	Board Staff
23	Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer
24	Sandra Monterrubio, Enforcement Manager (Day 1)
25	Stephanie Cheung, Licensing Manager
26	Troy Polk, Legislative and Regulatory Analyst
27	Sarah Proteau, CPD & Renewals Coordinator
28	Anthony Pane, Board Counsel
29	F.1. A 445 0004
	Friday, August 15, 2024
30	A consider the conflict of Control Della Con
31 32	Agenda Item #1: Call to Order/Roll Call/Establishment of a Quorum
32 33	Dr. Tate called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m. A quorum was present and due notice
34	had been sent to all interested parties.
35	nad been sent to all interested parties.
36	Agenda Item #2: President's Welcome
37	a) Swearing in and reappointment of Board Member Julie Nystrom.
38	a, and monder of bodie monder of the monder
39	Dr. Tate offered opening remarks and conducted the swearing in and reappointment of
40	Julie Nystrom to the Board.
41	
42	b) Mindfulness Exercise
43	
44	Dr. Rodgers led Members and attendees through a mindfulness exercise.

45 46	Dr. Tate called for Board comment.
47 48 49	No Board comment was offered.
50 51	Dr. Tate called for public comment.
52 53	No public comment was offered.
54 55 56 57	Agenda Item #3: Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda. Note: The Board May Not Discuss or Take Action on Any Matter Raised During this Public Comment Section, Except to Decide Whether to Place the Matter on the Agenda of a Future Meeting [Government Code sections 11125 and 11125.7(a)].
58 59	Dr. Tate called for public comment.
60 61 62 63 64	Dr. Andrea Davis of the Los Angeles County Psychological Association commented on feedback her office had received about delays in licensing and registration, especially for providers coming from out of state and those applying for Psychological Associate registration for the first time.
65 66 67	No further public comment was offered.
68 69 70	Agenda Item #4: Discussion and Possible Approval of the Board Meeting Minutes: May 10, 2024
71 72	Dr. Tate called for Board comment.
73 74	No Board comment was offered.
75 76	Dr. Tate called for public comment.
77 78	No public comment was offered.
79 80 81	It was (M)Harb Sheets(S)Rodgers(C) to adopt the May 10, 2024, Board meeting minutes.
82 83 84	Votes 6 Ayes (Casuga, Foo, Harb Sheets, Nystrom, Rodgers, Tate), 0 Noes
85 86	Agenda Item #5: President's Report
87 88	a) Meeting Calendar
89 90	Dr. Tate provided the update on this item and called for Board comment.
91	No Board comment was offered.

Dr. Tate called for public comment. No public comment was offered. Agenda Item #6: Executive Officer's Report a) Personnel Update Ms. Sorrick provided the update on this item, starting on page 43 of the meeting materials. On a personal note, she commented on her departure from the Board of Psychology to take a position in governmental affairs in behavioral health. She spoke to the twenty years she spent within DCA and her eleven years serving with this Board, and expressed her gratitude. Dr. Tate called for Board comment. Dr. Casuga expressed gratitude to Ms. Sorrick for her years of leadership and wished her well. No further Board comment was offered. Dr. Tate called for public comment. No public comment was offered. Agenda Item #7: DCA Update Assistant Deputy Director of Board and Bureau Relations Yvonne Dorantes provided the update on this item. She commented that Department of Finance issued Budget Letter 2420 implementing the Governor's proposal to reduce the State workforce by ten thousand positions with a saving of \$1.5 billion. DCA is working closely with boards and bureaus to identify which cuts could be made without compromising consumer protection. The workforce reduction will be in effect 2025 – 2026. Ms. Dorantes commented on the changes to the Labor Code arising from implementation of SB 533 to mandate the development and implementation of a workplace violence prevention plan. The training would be required of all staff and Board Members. Dr. Tate called for Board comment. No Board comment was offered. Dr. Tate called for public comment.

No public comment was offered. Agenda Item #8: Budget Update Mr. Polk provided the update on this item, starting on page 49 of the meeting materials. Dr. Tate called for Board comments. Dr. Harb Sheets commented on a discrepancy between the spending projections provided in the meeting materials and the amounts given in Mr. Polk's report. Mr. Polk confirmed that there was a late-discovered error in the meeting materials, and that he provided the correct information here in his meeting report. Mr. Foo commented on the \$1.8 - \$2 million increase in AG costs and asked about board impacts. Ms. Sorrick commented that the AG hourly rates increased 3.75% effective July 1, 2024. Mr. Foo asked where this increase would appear in the expenditure report, and Mr. Polk replied that it was reflected under Departmental Services under line item 5342. Discussion ensued regarding the services rendered by the AG to the board in terms of closed enforcement cases, especially as to why the AG costs continue to increase while the length of time for cases to be adjudicated has not changed appreciably. Ms. Sorrick commented that the latest increase in AG costs would cost the board an estimated \$41,000 based on past performance. Dr. Tate called for further Board comment. No further Board comment was offered. Dr. Tate called for public comment. No public comment was offered. Agenda Item #9: Enforcement Report Ms. Monterrubio provided the update on this item, starting on page 50 of the meeting materials. Ms. Monterrubio commented on the status of two open positions in the Enforcement Unit that will hopefully be filled in the coming weeks.

The Enforcement Unit has 33 Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) currently serving and has received an additional 36 applications from prospective SMEs. The next SME training was scheduled for September 2024.

189190 Dr. Tate called for Board comment.

191

193

197

207

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

233

- 192 Ms. Nystrom asked how many SMEs the Enforcement Unit hoped to have in its ranks.
- Ms. Monterrubio commented that she hoped that all 36 of the new applications would be approved, but that even 20 would be great, and would represent the largest number of SMEs serving over the past several years.

Discussion ensued about how to attract more applicants to the SME program.

- 200 Dr. Casuga asked about the application process for SMEs. 201
- Ms. Monterrubio commented that the application is available online, then provided some examples of qualifications looked for in potential SMEs.
- Dr. Tate commented that every item relating to the SME application process mentioned by Ms. Monterrubio was available starting on page 62 of the meeting materials.
- 208 Dr. Tate called for further Board comment. 209
- No further Board comment was offered.
- Dr. Tate called for public comment.
- Dr. Elizabeth Winkelman commented that the public might have the perception that SMEs would side with the Subject in an enforcement case, rather than review objectively whether a standard of care was violated.
 - Ms. Monterrubio replied that the first part of assigning a case for SME review is to establish that there is no conflict of interest, and SMEs are informed through the board's training that the board does not make any assumptions about whether a violation may have occurred when the case is sent to the SME. Board staff are lay employees and do not themselves determine whether or not a violation has occurred. In this regard, SMEs do act as advocates for consumer protection, because neither board staff nor consumers can determine whether a violation has taken place.
- Ms. Sorrick commented that the use of the word 'advocate' is inline with the Board's mission to protect consumers, and that the consumer might not know whether there had been a deviation from the standard of care. SMEs provide the information necessary for staff to make an informed decision, and then the consumer is told of the decision. At the staff level, there is a fair and impartial review of the facts of the case, and any potential violations of the Practice Act can be sent up to an SME to opine on the merits of the case.

235	
236	Ms. Monterrubio commented that SMEs are instructed to review the case material
237	provided to them and opine as to whether or not there has been a departure from the
238	standard of care.
239	
240	Dr. Harb Sheets asked for clarification as to whether the word 'advocate' was used in
241	the context of SME training, and Ms. Monterrubio commented that it was not. Ms.
242	Monterrubio restated that SMEs are trained to be impartial, and not to assume that
243	there has been a departure from the standard of care.
244	'
245	No further public or Board comments offered.
246	The families parameter a second community and the second community and
247	Agenda Item #10: Enforcement Committee Report and Consideration of
248	Committee Recommendations
249	<u> </u>
250	a) Review Expert Application
251	/
252	Mr. Foo provided the update on this item, starting on page 50 of the meeting materials.
253	7 3 1 3
254	Mr. Foo commented on the revisions made to the SME Application that came out of the
255	Enforcement Committee meeting of November 2023.
256	3
257	Dr. Tate called for Board comment.
258	
259	Dr. Casuga agreed with the Enforcement Committee's suggestions and commented that
260	the definition of developmental disabilities should be expanded to include assessment
261	or intervention of developmental disabilities including intellectual disabilities and autism.
262	
263	Mr. Foo restated the new wording under bullet number 6 of the Expert Functions List
264	Additions for Board Approval (List) (page 71 of the meeting materials) to read as:
265	
266	"Assessment of, or intervention with, developmental and intellectual disabilities,
267	including autism or a similar diagnosis".
268	
269	Dr. Casuga refined the language further to read as:
270	
271	"Assessment of or intervention with <u>developmental</u> intellectual disabilities, including
272	intellectual disability, autism, or a similar and other related diagnosis diagnoses".
273	<u> </u>
274	Mr. Foo called for Board comment.
275	

Mr. Foo restated the language under bullet number 9 of the List to read as:

"Psychological <u>and Neuropsychological</u> Evaluations, including clinical observation, reviewing <u>records and documents</u>, and psychometric testing".

No Board comments offered on this revision.

Dr. Harb Sheets asked what instruction SMEs receive in their training.

234

276277

278279

Mr. Foo called for Board comments on the second change to the List. No Board comments offered on this revision. Mr. Foo commented that in response to the Barriers to Telehealth Survey, the Board might be interested in adding an additional item to the List to address diversity, equity, and inclusion. Dr. Rodgers commented that she would like to see this item added to the List. Mr. Foo offered new language which could be added to read: "Diversity, Equity, Inclusion, and Social Justice Consulting". Mr. Foo called for a motion to approve the List as presented to be added to the Expert Application. It was (M)Tate(S)Rodgers(C) to approve the List as presented to be added to the Expert Application. Mr. Foo called for public comment. No public comment was offered. Mr. Foo asked to amend the motion so as to delegate to the Executive Officer to make any non-substantive technical changes to the List. Dr. Tate amended her motion. It was (M)Tate(S)Rodgers(C) to approve the List as presented to be added to the Expert Application, and to delegate to the Executive Officer to make any non-substantive technical changes. Votes 6 Ayes (Casuga, Foo, Harb Sheets, Nystrom, Rodgers, Tate), 0 Noes b) Review compliance concerns related to telehealth requirements (including HIPAA, Business and Professions Code Section 2290.5, and California Code of Regulations Section 1396.8) Mr. Foo provided the update on this item, starting on page 72 of the meeting materials. Mr. Foo commented that the Enforcement Committee would report back to the full Board at the November 2024 meeting regarding their review of the statutory and regulatory language relating to telehealth.

Mr. Foo called for Board comment.

330	
331	No Board comment was offered.
332	
333	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
334	No public comment was offered
335 336	No public comment was offered.
337	c) <u>Strategic Plan Items</u>
338	of <u>stategio i lair items</u>
339	Mr. Foo provided the update on this item, starting on page 73 of the meeting materials
340	
341	It was (M)Tate(S)Harb Sheets(C) to accept the changes to the Subject Contact Letter
342	as recommended by the Enforcement Committee.
343	
344	Mr. Foo called for public comment.
345	No mublic comment was affered
346	No public comment was offered.
347 348	Ms. Sorrick commented that she appreciated that the Board is willing to review its tone
349	and to make changes in the way it communicates with applicants, licensees, and
350	consumers.
351	consumero.
352	Votes
353	6 Ayes (Casuga, Foo, Harb Sheets, Nystrom, Rodgers, Tate), 0 Noes
354	
355	Agenda Item #11: Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee Report and
356	Consideration of Committee Recommendations
357	
358	Dr. Casuga introduced this item, and Mr. Polk provided the update starting on page 98
359	of the meeting materials.
360 361	a) Legislative Proposals
362	a) Legislative Floposals
363	1. SB 1526 – Consumer Affairs - Psychological Associates: Business and Professions
364	Code Section 2913: Change of Supervisor Fee: Business and Professions Code
365	Section 2987: Health and Safety Code 124260
366	
367	This item was informational and no action was taken.
368	
369	b) Review of Bills for Active Position Recommendations to the Board 1. SB 1451
370	(Ashby) Professions and vocations
371	
372	Action on this item was held for the second day.
373	\
374	c) Bills with Active Position Taken by the Board
375	1 AP 2051 (Ponto) Dovohology interiorisal source of
376 377	AB 2051 (Bonta) Psychology interjurisdictional compact
3//	

378	Dr. Casuga called for Board comment.
379 380	No Board comment was offered.
381 382	Dr. Casuga called for public comment.
383 384	No public comment was offered.
385 386	2. AB 1991 (Bonta) Licensee and Registrant Records
387 388	Action on this item was held for the second day.
389 390 391	3. AB 2270 (Maienschein) Healing arts: continuing education: menopausal mental and physical health
392 393	Dr. Casuga called for Board comment.
394 395	No Board comment was offered.
396 397	Dr. Casuga called for public comment.
398 399	No public comment was offered.
400 401 402	4. AB 2581 (Maienschein) Healing arts: continuing education: maternal mental health
403	Dr. Casuga called for Board comment.
404 405	No Board comment was offered.
406 407	Dr. Casuga called for public comment.
408 409	No public comment was offered.
410 411 412	5. AB 2703 (Aguiar-Curry) Federally qualified health centers and rural health clinics: psychological associates
413	psychological associates
414 415	Dr. Casuga called for Board comment.
416 417	No Board comment was offered.
418 419	Dr. Casuga called for public comment.
420 421	Dr. Elizabeth Winkelman thanked the Board for supporting this CPA-sponsored bill.
422 423	No further public comment was offered.
424 425	6. SB 1012 (Wiener) The Regulated Psychedelic-assisted Therapy Act and the Regulated Psychedelic Substances Control Act

426	
427	Dr. Casuga called for Board comment.
428	
429	No Board comment was offered.
430	
431	Dr. Casuga called for public comment.
432	
433	No public comment was offered.
434	
435	d) Watch Bills
436	-, · · · · · · - · · ·
437	1. AB 236 (Holden) Health care coverage: provider directories
438	
439	This item was informational and no action was taken.
440	
441	2. AB 2282 (McKinnor) Family reunification services
442	2. 7. D 2202 (Mortaline) / Garring Fouring Salvinos
443	This item was informational and no action was taken.
444	The term was informational and no action was taken
445	3. AB 2862 (Gipson) Licenses: African American applicants
446	o. NB Look (Olposity Elochood: 7 illioant 7 illionidant applicante
447	This item was informational and no action was taken.
448	This tom was informational and no action was taken.
449	4. SB 294 (Wiener) Health care coverage: independent medical review
450	The second secon
451	This item was informational and no action was taken.
452	
453	5. SB 999 (Wiener) Health coverage: mental health and substance use disorders
454	<u></u>
455	Action on this item was held for the second day.
456	,
457	6. SB 1067 (Smallwood-Cuevas) Healing arts: expedited licensure process: medically
458	underserved area or population
459	and or convoca area or population
460	This item was informational and no action was taken.
461	The term was informational and no action was taken
462	7. SB 1120 (Becker) Health care coverage: utilization review
463	The state of the s
464	This item was informational and no action was taken.
465	The term was informational and no action was taken
466	Dr. Casuga called for Board comment.
467	Dr. Gadaga daliba for Board dominiona.
468	No Board comment was offered.
469	TO DOME COMMON HAD ONOTED.
470	Dr. Casuga called for public comment.
471	- J-:
_	

472 473	Dr. Andrea Davis commented that she appreciated the Board adding four bills to the Watch list based on public comment she made at a previous meeting. She hoped the
474 475	Board would continue to watch AB 236, SB 294, SB 999, and SB 1120.
476 477	No further public comment was offered.
477	Agenda Item #12: Legislative Items for Future Meeting. The Committee May
479	Discuss Other Items of Legislation in Sufficient Detail to Determine Whether Such
480	Items Should be on a Future Committee or Board Meeting Agenda and/or Whether
481	to Hold a Special Meeting of the Committee or Board to Discuss Such Items
482	Pursuant to Government Code Section 11125.4
483	Dr. Casura called for Doord comment
484	Dr. Casuga called for Board comment.
485	No Board comment was offered.
486	No board comment was offered.
487 488	Dr. Casuga called for public comment.
489	Dr. Casuga called for public confinent.
490	No public comment was offered.
491	No public comment was offered.
492	Agenda Item #13: Regulatory Update, Review, and Consideration of Additional
493	Changes
494	<u>onangoo</u>
495	Dr. Casuga introduced this item and Mr. Polk provided the update, starting on page 614
496	of the meeting materials.
497	
498	a) 16 CCR sections 1391.13, and 1391.14 – Inactive Psychological Associates
499	Registration and Reactivating a Psychological Associate Registration
500	
501	Action on this item was held for the second day.
502	·
503	b) 16 CCR 1395.2 – Disciplinary Guidelines and Uniform Standards Related to
504	Substance-Abusing Licensees
505	
506	This item was informational and no action was taken.
507	
508	c) <u>16 CCR sections 1380.3, 1381.1, 1381.2, 1381.4, 1381.5, 1382, 1382.3, 1382.4,</u>
509	<u>1382.5, 1386, 1387.1, 1387.2, 1387.3, 1387.4, 1387.5, 1387.6, 1391, 1391.1, 1391.3, </u>
510	1391.4, 1391.5, 1391.6, 1391.8, 1391.11, and 1391.12 – Pathways to Licensure
511	
512	This item was informational and no action was taken.
513	n
514	d) 16 CCR sections 1380.6, 1393, 1396, 1396.1, 1396.2, 1396.4, 1396.5, 1397, 1397.1,
515	<u>1397.2, 1397.35, 1397.37, 1397.39, 1397.50, 1397.51, 1397.52, 1397.53, 1397.54, 1397.55</u>
516	1397 55 - Enforcement Provisions

This item was informational and no action was taken.

520 521	e) <u>16 CCR sections 1397.35, 1397.37, 1397.39, and 1937.40 - Corporations 4</u>
522 523	This item was informational and no action was taken.
524 525	f) <u>16 CCR sections 1381, 1387, 1387.10, 1388, 1388.6, 1389, and 1389.1 – EPPP-2.</u>
526 527	This item was informational and no action was taken.
528 529 530	g) <u>Sections 1390 – 1390.14 of Division 13.1 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations – Research Psychoanalyst Regulation. Discussion and Possible Approval of proposed language and licensure application forms related to SB 815.</u>
531532533	Action on this item was held for the second day.
534 535 536	Agenda Item #18: Licensure Committee Report and Consideration of Committee Recommendations
537 538	At Dr. Tate's direction, this item was taken out of order.
539 540 541	Dr. Harb Sheets introduced this item, and Ms. Cheung provided the update starting on page 642 of the combined materials.
542 543	a) <u>Licensing Report</u>
544 545	Dr. Harb Sheets called for Board comment.
546 547 548	Mr. Foo asked about current processing times for applicants inside and outside the state.
549 550 551 552	Ms. Cheung commented that the Board does post processing timelines on the board's website, and that processing times do fluctuate due to various factors including the completeness of the application materials.
553 554 555	Dr. Harb Sheets asked whether Ms. Cheung was reallocating resources within the board to handle the backlog of applications.
556 557 558	Ms. Cheung replied that analysts are sometimes able to lighten the load of other analysts in the spirit of teamwork.
559 560	Dr. Harb Sheets called for public comment.
561 562	No public comment was offered.
563 564	b) Continuing Professional Development and Renewals Report
565 566 567	Ms. Proteau provided the update on this item, starting on page 651 of the meeting materials.

568	Dr. Harb Sheets called for Board comment.
569 570 571	No Board comment was offered.
572 573	Dr. Harb Sheets called for public comment.
574 575	No public comment was offered.
576 577	c) Examination Report
578 579 580	Ms. Cheung provided the update on this item, starting on page 656 of the meeting materials.
581 582 583 584 585	Dr. Harb Sheets commented that it is important to look at EPPP first-time pass rates versus overall pass rates, and that it should be noted that the Board does not participate in the development of the exam; ASPPB owns the EPPP and would be the appropriate place to direct concerns about the exam.
586 587	Dr. Harb Sheets called for Board comment.
588 589	No Board comment was offered.
590 591	Dr. Harb Sheets called for public comment.
592 593	No public comment was offered.
594 595 596 597 598	Mr. Foo commented about a recent report posted on the Board's website (https://psychology.ca.gov/applicants/exams/statistics.shtml) providing statistical data on pass rates by institution with information on how many retests occurred in the sample.
599 600 601	Dr. Tate commented that this topic would be discussed further on the second day of the meeting, under agenda item 17.
602 603 604	Dr. Casuga commented that EPPP would continue to be written up and discussed in the Board's quarterly newsletter.
605 606	No further Board comment was offered.
607 608 609	Ms. Proteau commented that attendance at the first day of the meeting provided 2.5 hours of CPD under Category 1.
610 611	CLOSED SESSION
612613614	Agenda Item #14: The Board will Meet in Closed Session Pursuant to Government Code Section 11126(c)(3) to Discuss Disciplinary Matters Including Petitions for Reinstatement, Modification, or Early Termination, Proposed Decisions,

Stipulations, Petitions for Reinstatement and Modification of Penalty, Petitions for Reconsideration, and Remands. **ADJOURNMENT** The meeting adjourned at 4:45 pm. Friday, August 16, 2024 Agenda Item #15: Call to Order/Roll Call/Establishment of a Quorum Dr. Tate called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m. A quorum was present and due notice had been sent to all interested parties. Dr. Cervantes was present for the second day of the meeting. Agenda Item #16: Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda. Note: The Board May Not Discuss or Take Action on Any Matter Raised During this Public Comment Section, Except to Decide Whether to Place the Matter on the Agenda of a Future Meeting [Government Code sections 11125 and 11125.7(a)]. Dr. Tate called for public comment. No public comment was offered. Agenda Item #11: Legislative and Regulatory Affairs Committee Report and **Consideration of Committee Recommendations** These items were held over from day one. Dr. Cervantes introduced this item and Mr. Polk provided the update, starting on page 189 of the meeting materials. b) Review of Bills for Active Position Recommendations to the Board 1. SB 1451 (Ashby) Professions and vocations Staff recommended that the Board consider a support position on SB 1451. It was (M)Rodgers(S)Tate(C) to adopt a support position on SB 1451. Dr. Harb Sheet asked whether this bill would mean that someone possessing a doctoral degree, but was not licensed by this Board, could not use the title 'doctor' in their advertising. Mr. Pane commented that the main thrust behind the bill was to clarify the ambiguity surrounding the use of the title 'doctor' and suggested that the impact in most cases would be minimal unless someone set out to misrepresent the use of the title.

661	
662	Ms. Sorrick commented that this legislation pertains to the Medical Practice Act and was
663	intended to pertain to the Medical Board's enforcement purposes.
664	
665	Dr. Cervantes called for further Board comment.
666	
667	Ms. Nystrom recused herself.
668	Dr. Carventee called for public comment
669 670	Dr. Cervantes called for public comment.
671	Dr. Winkelman commented that CPA had initially taken an opposed-unless-amended
672	position on SB 1451 and thanked the Board for considering a support position.
673	position on ob 1101 and thankou the board for confidenting a capport position.
674	No further public comment was offered.
675	
676	Votes
677	6 Ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Rodgers, Tate), 1 Recusal (Nystrom), 0
678	Noes
679	
680	c) Bills with Active Position Taken by the Board
681	
682	2. AB 1991 (Bonta) Licensee and Registrant Records
683	M D II
684	Mr. Polk provided the update on this item, starting on page 268 of the meeting
685	materials.
686 687	Staff recommended that the Board consider changing its opposed position of May 10,
688	2024, to a watch position.
689	2024, to a water position.
690	It was (M)Foo(S)Tate(C)to adopt a watch position on AB 1991.
691	() () (-)
692	Dr. Cervantes called for Board comment.
693	
694	No Board comment was offered.
695	
696	Dr. Cervantes called for public comment.
697	
698	No public comment was offered.
699	Votos
700	Votes 6 Avec (Coours Comientes Fee Herb Sheets Bedgers Tate) 1 Begunel (Nivetrem) 0
701 702	6 Ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Rodgers, Tate), 1 Recusal (Nystrom), 0 Noes
702	Noes
703	d) Watch Bills
705	a, trater bille
706	5. SB 999 (Wiener) Health coverage: mental health and substance use disorders
707	

708 709	Mr. Polk provided the update on this item, starting on page 554 of the meeting materials.
710 711 712	Dr. Cervantes called for Board comment.
713 714 715	Dr. Casuga commented that when this bill was discussed in committee, public comment raised the question whether the person at an insurance company reviewing mental health claims for coverage would necessarily be a psychologist, or otherwise practicing
716 717 718	in that field, and whether a claim reviewed by a lay person might be denied through not understanding the nature of the treatment being sought. The concern was that a non-psychologist might be in a position to review a psychological matter for insurance
719 720	purposes.
721 722	No further Board comment was offered.
723 724	Dr. Cervantes called for public comment.
725 726	No public comment was offered.
727 728 729	Agenda Item #13: Regulatory Update, Review, and Consideration of Additional Changes
730 731	These items were held over from day one.
732 733 734	a) 16 CCR sections 1391.13, and 1391.14 – Inactive Psychological Associates Registration and Reactivating a Psychological Associate Registration
735 736 737	Mr. Polk provided the update on this item, starting on page 614 of the meeting materials.
738 739	§1391.13. Inactive Status of Psychological Associate Registration.
740 741 742	[Highlighting provided as an aid to indicate new language and is not part of the final language - Staff]
743 744 745	(a) A psychological associate holding a valid registration may request in writing that the Board place their registration on inactive status as follows:
746 747 748 749	(1) Submit a notification to remove a primary supervisor pursuant to section 1391.11(b). Separate notification is necessary if a registration is associated with more than one primary supervisor; or,
750 751 752	(2) If no primary supervisor is associated with a registration, submit a written request to the Board that includes the following:

(A) Psychological associate name and registration number, (B) Last primary supervisor(s) name(s) and license number(s), and

755 (C) Last date of the supervision period, which shall not be a date after the submission of the written request.

- (b) The Board or its Designee will approve the notification or written request pursuant to sections (a)(1) or (a)(2). Approval of the request by the Board or its designee for inactive status shall result in all primary supervisors, as defined in section 1387.1, if any, associated with the registration being disassociated. The inactive status will be effective as of the last date of the supervision period provided in the written request.
- (c) A psychological associate registration shall be placed on inactive status if the psychological associate does not have a primary supervisor.
- (d) A psychological associate registration on inactive status shall retain the same annual renewal date, and to remain valid, shall be renewed annually and there shall not be a fee charged.
- (e) A psychological associate shall not provide psychological services while their psychological associate registration is on inactive status.
- (f) Time periods during which a psychological associate registration is on inactive status shall not apply toward the limitation of registration period set forth in section 1391.1(b). Accrual of supervised professional experience shall occur within the time limitations set forth in section 1387(a).
- (g) A psychological associate registration shall not remain on inactive status cumulatively for more than thirty (30) months.
- (h) If a notification to add a primary supervisor pursuant to section 1391.11(a) is not submitted by the registered psychological associate on or before the thirty (30) month end date, the registration shall be cancelled.
- **Note:** *Authority cited*: Sections 2913 and 2930, Business and Professions Code. *Reference*: Sections 2913 and 2914, Business and Professions Code.

§1391.14. Reactivating a Psychological Associate Registration.

- (a) A psychological associate registration that has been placed on inactive status pursuant to section 1391.13 will be returned to active status upon approval by the Board or its designee of a notification to add a primary supervisor pursuant to section 1391.11(a).
- (b) Primary supervisor(s) shall meet all requirements pursuant to section 1387.1
- Note: Authority cited: Sections 2913 and 2930, Business and Professions Code.
 Reference: Section 2913, Business and Professions Code
- Staff recommends the Board consider the modified regulatory text for 16 CCR section 1391.13 and 1391.14 and entertain a motion to approve the modified regulatory text,

803	direct staff to take all steps necessary to complete the rulemaking process, including
804	preparing modified text for an additional 15-day comment period, which includes
805	amendments discussed at this meeting. If after the 15-day public comment period, the
806	board does not receive any comments providing objections or adverse recommendation
807	specifically directed at the proposed action or to the procedures followed by the board in
808	proposing or adopting the action, then the Board authorizes the Executive Officer to
809	make any non-substantive changes to the proposed regulations and the rulemaking file,
810	and adopt the proposed regulations as described in the modified text notice for CCR,
811	title 16, section 1391.13 and 1391.14.

It was (M)Foo(S)Casuga(C) to move as provided above.

Dr. Cervantes called for Board comment.

Ms. Sorrick provided a short synopsis of the motivations behind seeking this regulatory change.

No further staff or Board comment offered.

Dr. Cervantes called for public comment.

No public comment was offered.

- Votes
- 6 Ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Rodgers, Tate), 1 Recusal (Nystrom), 0 Noes

- g) Sections 1390 1390.14 of Division 13.1 of Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations – Research Psychoanalyst Regulation. Discussion and Possible Approval
 - of proposed language and licensure application forms related to SB 815.

Mr. Polk provided the update on this item, starting on page 617 of the meeting materials.

<u>1390 – Citation</u>

- This article may be cited and referred to as the "Research Psychoanalyst Regulations."
- NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code,
- Reference: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code.

1390.1 – Registration. Definitions

As used in this article:

(a) "Research Psychoanalyst" means a psychoanalyst who is registered with the Board.

- (b) "Student" means a person enrolled in a psychoanalytic institution for training in
- psychoanalysis who is registered with the Board.

J D I	
352	(c) "Graduate psychoanalyst" means a licensed physician and surgeon, psychologist,
353	licensed clinical social worker or licensed marriage, and family therapist who has had
354	training in psychoanalysis equal to that specified in Section 1390.9 or a research
355	psychoanalyst who is a graduate of either a psychoanalytic institute specified in Section
356	2950 of the Business and Professions code (Code) or a psychoanalytic institution
357	deemed equivalent by the Board which meets the criteria set forth in Section 1390.9

- (d) "Psychoanalytic institution" means any institution, institute, department or program organized to provide training in psychoanalytic theory and technique meeting the criteria of Section 1390.9 and which certifies students engaged in such training.
- (e) "Research psychoanalysts law" means Chapter 6.6 of Division 2 of the Code.
- NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code, Reference: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code.

1390.2 - Delegation Authority

The power and discretion conferred upon the Board to review and approve applications for registration and to enforce the provisions of the research psychoanalysts law are hereby delegated and conferred upon the executive officer of the Board or their staff.

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code,
Reference: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code.

<u>1390.3 – Evidence Required of Graduate or Student Status</u>

All applicants shall submit to the Board with the application for registration official certification of graduation or student status from the registrar or a similar official of the institution attended.

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code,

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code, Reference: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code.

1390.4 - Registration Not Required

Physicians and surgeons, psychologists, licensed clinical social workers, licensed marriage, family therapists licensed in this state, and any other persons otherwise exempt from the Medical Practice Act (Section 2000 et seq. of the code) or otherwise exempt from other state licensure laws are not required to register with the Board in order to engage in research psychoanalysis.

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code, Reference: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code.

1390.5 - Completion of Clinical Training Defined

The term "completed clinical training" as used in Section 2950 of the code means completion of psychoanalytic training in a psychoanalytic institute referred to in that section or from an institute, department or program deemed equivalent by the Board.

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code,
Reference: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code.

901 902

1390.6 – Adjunct Defined

903 904

905

906

907

908

909

910

A research psychoanalyst may engage in psychoanalysis as an adjunct to teaching, training or research. "Adjunct" means that the research psychoanalyst may not engage in a full-time clinical practice rendering psychoanalytic services on a fee-for-service basis. A research psychoanalyst may render psychoanalytic services on a fee-for-service basis for not more than an average of one-third of their total professional time including time spent in practice, teaching, training or research. Such teaching, training or research shall be the primary activity of the research psychoanalyst. This primary activity may be demonstrated by meeting any of the following criteria:

911 912

(a) A full-time faculty appointment at the University of California, a state university or
 college, or an accredited or approved educational institution as defined in Section
 94885, subdivisions (a) and (b), of the Education Code.

916

917 (b) Significant ongoing responsibility for teaching or training as demonstrated by the
918 amount of time devoted to such teaching or training or the number of students trained;
919 or

920

921 (c) A significant research effort demonstrated by publications in professional journals or 922 publication of books.

923

924 NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code, Reference: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code.

926 927

1390.7 – Criteria for Supervision

928

929 Students may practice psychoanalysis under proper supervision meeting the following 930 requirements:

(a) Each supervisor of a student shall be a graduate psychoanalyst who has a minimum
 of five years of postgraduate clinical experience in psychoanalysis following completion
 of their psychoanalytic education.

934 935

(b) Each such supervisor shall:

936

(1) provide individual supervision of each student for a minimum of one (1) hour for
 each week per case of patient psychoanalysis for the first year of such supervision, then
 no less than one (1) hour per case each month thereafter;

940

941 (2) supervise no more students than, in the judgment of the psychoanalytic institute, can 942 be effectively supervised.

943

944 (c) There shall be a minimum of 50 hours of supervision for each case for a total of at least 150 hours of supervision during training.

Reference: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code. 948 949 950 1390.8 – Registration After Graduation 951 952 Any person who has been registered with the Board as a student research 953 psychoanalyst who wishes to continue to perform psychoanalysis shall first, upon 954 completion of training in psychoanalysis, register with the Board as a research 955 psychoanalyst. 956 957 NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code, 958 Reference: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code. 959 960 1390.9 – Criteria for Equivalent Psychoanalytic Institutes 961 962 In order to be deemed an equivalent psychoanalytic institute by the Board, such an 963 institute, department or program shall meet the following criteria: 964 965 (a) Have a curriculum which is designed and implemented by a faculty which is 966 predominantly graduate psychoanalysts; 967 968 (b) Require each student to have practical clinical exposure to a wide variety of 969 psychopathologies and training in their differential diagnosis; 970 971 (c) Require each student research psychoanalyst prior to admission to have received a 972 doctorate degree, or its equivalent in education and experience from the University of 973 California, a state university or college, an educational institution which is accredited or 974 approved pursuant to section 94885 of the Education Code or an educational institution 975 located outside the state which has current accreditation by a national or applicable 976 regional accrediting agency recognized by the United States Department of Education; 977 978 (d) Require each student research psychoanalyst prior to admission to have shown 979 achievement in teaching, training or research with demonstrated aptitude in their 980 primary field of scholarly or scientific endeavor; 981 982 (e) Require each student research psychoanalyst to participate in at least 560 hours of 983 classroom training over at least three (3) years on all phases of psychoanalysis; 984 985 (f) Require each student research psychoanalyst to participate in continuous case 986 conferences conducted by graduate psychoanalysts; 987 988 (g) Require each student research psychoanalyst to undergo a minimum of 300 hours 989 personal psychoanalysis conducted by a graduate psychoanalyst who has a minimum 990 of five years of postgraduate clinical experience in psychoanalysis following the 991 completion of their psychoanalytic education; 992

(h) Require each student research psychoanalyst to conduct at least three (3)

psychoanalyses of a client under the supervision of three different graduate

NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code,

947

993

- 1042 registrant shall, on or before the date on which it would otherwise expire, apply for renewal on a form provided by the Board, accompanied by a required verification and 1043 1044 the prescribed renewal fee. 1045 NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code, Reference: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code. 1046 1047 1048 **1390.14 – Application** 1049
- 1050 All applications for registration shall be submitted on a form provided by the Board and shall be accompanied by such evidence or documents which may be necessary to 1051 determine the applicant's qualifications for registration. All such applications shall be 1052 filed with the Board and shall be accompanied by the required registration fee. 1053 1054 NOTE: Authority cited: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code, Reference: Sections 2930 and 2950, Business and Professions Code. 1055
- 1056 1057 Dr. Cervantes called for Board comment.

1063

1067

1075

1082

1085

- 1059 Mr. Foo commented that he and Dr. Phillips, as the Research Psychoanalyst Ad Hoc 1060 Committee, reviewed this language previously. He commented that the fee language had been included in this latest reading after having been inadvertently excluded at the 1061 1062 previous Board meeting.
- 1064 It was (M)Foo(S)Casuga(C) to adopt the language proposed, beginning on page 622 of the combined materials packet, stating that the registration fee will be \$150 and the 1065 1066 biannual fee will be \$75.
- 1068 Dr. Cervantes called for further Board comment. 1069

No further Board comment was offered.

- 1070 1071 1072 Dr. Cervantes called for public comment.
- 1073 1074 No public comment was offered.
- 1076 Votes 1077 6 Ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Rodgers, Tate), 1 Recusal (Nystrom), 0 1078 Noes
- 1079 1080 Agenda Item #17: California Practice Examination Feasibility Information **Prepared by the Office of Professional Examination Services** 1081
- 1083 Ms. Cheung provided the update on this item, starting on page 624 of the meeting 1084 materials.
- 1086 Dr. Tate called for Board comment. 1087

1088 Dr. Harb Sheets asked whether there would be a period of time during which California applicants might be unable to take a second-level exam, if the Board did not adopt the 1089 1090 EPPP2.

1091 1092

1093

Ms. Cheung replied that such a delay in the development of an examination could be two to two-and-a-half years, depending on the availability of SMEs, and other limiting factors.

1094 1095 1096

Mr. Foo commented on a scenario where statutory and regulatory changes might be sought that might delay the implementation of any new examination to as long as maybe seven years, and that the two years Ms. Cheung described might be better viewed as the time it might take to develop a new exam, but not to actually implement it.

1099 1100

1097

1098

Dr. Casuga commented on a scenario where California licensees would lose their 1101 portability when they applied for licensure out of state, and that conversely, applicants 1102 coming to California would have to not only take the CPLEE, but would also have to 1103 take this non-EPPP2 exam. 1104

1105

1106 Dr. Harb Sheets asked the Board generally whether there was any sense that ASPPB would delay EPPP2 implementation beyond 2026. 1107

1108

1109 Ms. Sorrick commented that the ASPPB Board of Directors recently confirmed that the two-part EPPP will be in force effective January 1, 2026. 1110

1111

1112 Dr. Casuga commented that the Board would be sure to pass along to its stakeholders 1113 any information coming from ASPPB as to a change of direction, especially in response 1114 to the outcry from many licensing jurisdictions about the 2026 implementation timeline.

1115

1116 No further Board comment was offered.

1117

1118 Dr. Tate called for public comment.

1119

1120 Dr. Winkelman commented that CPA has received feedback from many members, including some who served on the EPPP2 task force, raising serious concern that the 1121 1122 mandated EPPP2 would serve as an additional barrier to licensure, and that there were 1123 questions as to its fairness to certain communities. Further, she noted that the exam would be an added expense, not only to take the exam itself, but to complete 1124 1125 preparatory coursework. She commented that since the boards are the real constituents of ASPPB, that this Board should consider taking these concerns up directly with

1126 ASPPB on behalf of its licensees. 1127

- 1128 1129
- Dr. Norville Hickman commented that through his own supervision of black psychological associates, and through his own research into the EPPP2, he cites 1130 1131 sufficient evidence of cultural bias in the exam. He pointed to an apparent lack of diversity on the boards of testing centers. 1132

- 1134 Dr. Melody Schaefer from CPA, Division 2, CAPIC Chairperson commented on her
- concerns over ASPPB operating as a closed-meeting culture, even though its decisions 1135

- impact psychological associations inside and outside the United States. She
- commented that this Board might approach ASPPB directly, since the arguments of an
- affiliate of ASPPB such as this Board might carry more weight.

- Dr. Lynelle Lynn commented on the barrier to her being able to be licensed in California was that she did not successfully pass the EPPP, and that the EPPP2 would put an
- additional barrier in the way of other young graduates.

1143

- Dr. Muna El-Shaieb guestioned what was the intention behind having an examination
- like the EPPP, whether it sought to evaluate for certain competencies or other
- 1146 baselines.

1147

1148 No further public comments were offered.

1149

- Dr. Casuga commented that she and the other members of the EPPP2 Ad Hoc
- 1151 Committee communicate regularly with ASPPB with the Board's concerns and
- stakeholder feedback, including that the EPPP2 is seen as a potential barrier to
- licensure, and questions as to whether or not there are cultural sensitivity issues
- inherent to the exam.

1155

- 1156 Mr. Foo commented that the Board has been very clear in expressing its concerns
- about EPPP2. He commented that Part 2, which is the skills-based exam, emerged
- from nationwide observations that disciplinary cases were on the rise, pointing to a
- weakness in this area in the practice of a growing number of licensees. He commented
- that the Board continually engages with ASPPB, and that he encouraged people to
- review the many years of meeting materials on this topic.

1162

- 1163 Mr. Foo acknowledged the advocacy of Dr. Cindy Yee-Bradbury at UCLA on behalf of
- 1164 Californians by informing the Board about what actions other state boards like Texas
- and New York are taking.

1166

- 1167 Mr. Foo made clarifying comments about the organizational nature of ASPBB itself, the
- administration of exams by outside vendors, and the origin of the questions developed
- 1169 for inclusion in the exam.

11701171

- Agenda Item #18: Licensure Committee Report and Consideration of Committee Recommendations
- 11721173
- 1174 These items were carried over from day one.

1175

- d) <u>Barriers to Telehealth Survey Follow-Up: Discuss the Content Relating to the</u>
- 1177 Development of a Telehealth Best Practice Guideline

1178

Dr. Harb Sheets provided the update on this item, starting on page 658 of the meeting materials.

- 1182 It was (M)Casuga(S)Rodgers(C) to provide a list of hyperlinks to various telehealth
- resources for licensees to use in their practice.

Dr. Tate called for public comment.
'
No public comment was offered.
Votes
7 Ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Nystrom, Rodgers, Tate), 0 Noes
e) Review Proposed Changes to the Extension Request Guidelines
Ms. Cheung provided the update on this item, starting on page 659 of the meeting
materials.
It was(M)Cervantes(S)Tate(C) to adopt the proposed changes to the Extension Request
Guidelines.
Submission and Review Guidelines for Extension Requests

Dr. Tate called for Board comment.

No Board comment was offered.

ion Requests

Requests may be submitted to extend the following time limitation pursuant to the California Code of Regulations Sections 1391.1(b) and 1387(a):

- 72-month limitation for psychological associate registration;
- 30-month limit to accrue 1,500 hours of pre or post-doctoral supervised professional experience (SPE); or
- 60-month limit to accrue 3,000 hours of post-doctoral SPE.

Submission Guidelines

1184 1185

1186 1187

1205 1206

1207 1208

1209

1210

1211 1212 1213

1214 1215

1216

1217 1218

1219

1220 1221

1222 1223

1224 1225 1226

1227 1228

1229

1230

1231

To submit an extension request, please provide the following information to the Board for review via email at boplicensing@dca.ca.gov:

- In the subject line, indicate the type of extension request by stating whether it is for an extension to the 72-month registration limitation period of a psychological associate registration or the 30- or 60-month time limitation in accruing SPE.
- The length of the extension.
- The reason for the extension request.
- Attach any documents (e.g., medical letter, birth/death certificates, timeline, etc.) that support the stated reason(s) for the extension request.

Review Guidelines

The following information serves as guidelines to assist Board staff in the preliminary review of straightforward requests for extension. Please note that requests made based on the following listed reason(s) do not indicate an automatic approval as they will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis. Requests submitted may still be subject to the

review of the Licensure Committee at its future scheduled meeting prior to a final determination is made.

Reasons for Extension	Parameters	Length of Extension
Disability under the ADA		
Care of family member	Unable to practice	1-year or less
Injury or accident	Onable to practice	1-year or less
Parental leave		

For your information, the intent for a psychological associate registration is one of the avenues to allow an individual to accrue the necessary SPE required for licensure as a psychologist. If a registrant has successfully accrued all required experience, an extension to a registration beyond the 72-month limitation is not warranted in the absence of a good cause as determined by the Boardunnecessary if the individual has successfully accrued all required experience.

Dr. Tate called for Board comment.

No Board comment was offered.

Dr. Tate called for public comment.

No public comment was offered.

Votes

7 Ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Nystrom, Rodgers, Tate), 0 Noes

Ms. Cheung provided the update on this item, starting on page 661 of the meeting materials.

Dr. Harb Sheets commented that while a weekly log is required to be kept, this log as drafted by staff is offered as a courtesy which they could use, or they could instead come up with their own.

f) Review a Courtesy Document: Weekly Log for Supervised Professional Experience

It was (M)Casuga(S)Tate(C) to direct staff to provide the courtesy log on the Board's website.

Mr. Foo asked whether there were electronic versions of the weekly log in use by other boards, or whether it was standard practice to use a paper format.

Dr. Harb Sheets replied that people could certainly develop their own PDF version that was auto-fillable.

- Dr. Cervantes commented that the courtesy log should be widely publicized to promote uniformity when staff are reviewing the logs for discrepancies in reported hours.
- 12751276 Dr. Tate called for public comment.

1280

1284

1291

1295

1297

1300

1304

1307

1312

1314

- Dr. Winkelman commented that 'waiver psychologist' should be added as an additional optional checkbox.
- Dr. Schaefer commented that confusion could arise if the form is not actually mandated for use as the weekly log, since this might be interpreted to mean that keeping a weekly log was not mandated.
- Dr. Harb Sheets commented that this was the rationale behind providing the hyperlink to the regulation itself in the introductory paragraph.
- Mr. Pane asked whether Drs. Casuga and Tate accepted the amendment to their original motion, whereby 'waiver psychologist' would be added as a category of supervisor.
- 1292 It was (M)Casuga(S)Tate(C) to direct staff to add 'waiver psychologist' as a category of 1293 supervisor on the courtesy log, and then to provide the courtesy log on the Board's 1294 website.
- No further Board nor public comments were offered.
- Votes
 7 Ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Nystrom, Rodgers, Tate), 0 Noes
- g) <u>Discuss the Content and Propose a Date to Convene a Stakeholder Meeting Relating</u>
 to the Role between a Licensed Psychologist, a Licensed Educational Psychologist, and
 Individuals with a Pupil Personnel Services Credential
- Dr. Harb Sheets provided the update on this item, starting on page 663 of the meeting materials.
- The Licensure Committee is planning to convene the stakeholder meeting in July 2025.
- 1310 It was (M)Rodgers(S)Casuga(C) to approve the stakeholder meeting as recommended by the Licensure Committee.
- 1313 Dr. Harb Sheets called for Board comment.
- 1315 Dr. Casuga asked which stakeholders would be participating in this meeting.
- Dr. Harb Sheets replied that this would be discussed at the January 2025 Licensure meeting.

- 1320 Dr. Cervantes commented that it might be helpful to include membership from CTA, for their expertise in identifying workplace issues. 1321 1322 1323 No further Board comment was offered. 1324 1325 Dr. Harb Sheets called for public comment. 1326 1327 No public comment was offered. 1328 1329 Votes 1330 7 Ayes (Casuga, Cervantes, Foo, Harb Sheets, Nystrom, Rodgers, Tate), 0 Noes 1331 1332 Agenda Item #19: Recommendations for Agenda Items for Future Board Meetings. Note: The Committee May Not Discuss or Take Action on Any Matter 1333 1334 Raised During This Public Comment Section, Except to Decide Whether to Place the Matter on the Agenda of a Future Meeting [Government Code Sections 11125] 1335 1336 and 11125.7(a)]. 1337 1338 Dr. Tate called for Board recommendations for future Board meetings. 1339 1340 Dr. Casuga recommended having a mindfulness exercise from Dr. Rodgers again, inviting ASPPB back, and having HCAI come to a future meeting, since they were not 1341 1342 able to attend the August meeting as they normally would have. 1343 1344 No further Board recommendations were offered. 1345 1346 Dr. Tate called for public recommendations. 1347 1348 Dr. Schaefer commented that CAPIC is being sunset and asked to be given time on an agenda to explain the reasons and to discuss how the process will unfold as far as 1349 student data is accessed. 1350 1351 1352 No further public recommendations were offered. 1353 1354 **Special Mention: Departures** 1355 1356 In her closing remarks, Dr. Tate recognized the departures of Antonette Sorrick, Executive Officer of the Board, and Sarah Proteau, CPD Coordinator. Dr. Tate 1357 1358 acknowledged Ms. Sorrick's long service and deep understanding of the Board's business, as well as Ms. Proteau's extraordinary efforts in helping the Members 1359 navigate all the difficulties with travel and meeting logistics through the pandemic and 1360
- The Board Members then each expressed their own well-wishes and gratitude.

1362

1367

beyond.

1365 Ms. Proteau commented that attendance at the second day of the meeting provided 2.0. hours of CPD under Category 1.

1368	<u>ADJOURNMENT</u>
1369	
1370	The meeting adjourned at 11:17 a.m.
1371	
1372	
1373	
1374	